Evaluation of an International Series of Teak Provenance Trials

Keiding, H.; Wellendorf, Hubert; Lauridsen, Elmer B.

Publication date: 1986

Document version Early version, also known as pre-print

Citation for published version (APA): Keiding, H., Wellendorf, H., & Lauridsen, E. B. (1986). *Evaluation of an International Series of Teak Provenance Trials*. Forskningscentret for Skov & Landskab. Research and Documentation

EVALUATION OF AN INTERNATIONAL SERIES OF TEAK PROVENANCE TRIALS by H. Keiding, H. Wellendorf

and E.B. Lauridsen



DANIDA FOREST SEED CENTRE

Titel

Evaluation of an international series of teak provenance trials

Authors

H. Keiding, H. Wellendorf and E.B. Lauridsen

Cover photo

Cover photo shows seven-year-old teak in provenance trial IP 001 Huey Som Poi, Thailand. Two modes of branching: left "light scatter", right "regular" with persistent axis.

Publisher

Danida Forest Seed Centre

ISBN 87-982428-1-4

DTP

Melita Jørgensen

Citation

Keiding, H. Wellendorf, H. and Lauridsen, E.B. Evaluation of an international series of teak provenance trials, Danida forest Seed Centre, Humlebæk, Denmark

Citation allowed with clear source indication

Written permission is required if you wish to use Forest & Landscape's name and/or any part of this report for sales and advertising purposes.

The report is available free of charge

SL-International@life.ku.dk

Electronic Version

www.SL.life.ku.dk

Contents

List Ack Abs	of tables and figures knowledgement stract	iv v vi
1. 2.	INTRODUCTION SEED COLLECTION AND DISTRIBUTION 2.1. Seed collection	1 6 6
	2.2. Seed distribution	8
3.	SELECTION OF TRAITS AND THEIR ASSESSMENT	8
	3.2. Selection of characters	10
	3.2.1 Field recording	13
	3.2.2 Scorings	14
	3.2.3 Supplementary descriptions of characters	15
	3.3 Description of scorings and labels	16
4.	ANALYSIS OF OBSERVATIONS	21
	4.1. Data handling	21
	4.2. Statistical analyses of individual experiments	21
	4.2.1 Type of characters and transformations	21
	4.2.2. The two-way analysis of variance	23
	4.2.3 Genetic estimates of provenances	24
	4.2.4 Correlation between characters	26
	4.2.5 Adjustments for uneven environments within blocks.	27
	4.3. Analyses of multiple traits within individual experiments.	28
	4.3.1. Three separate indices for health, growth and quality	28
	4.3.2 Economic weights	30
	4.3.3 Provenance performance within indvidual experiments.	31
	4.4. Overall analyses across sites	31
	4.4.1 Description of method and its application	31
	4.4.2 Proposed plantation zones and provenance performances	37
5.	RECOMMENDATIONS	43
	5.1. General procedure	43
	5.2. A case study for West Africa	43
	5.2.1 Supplementary remarks to case study West Africa	46
6.	REFERENCES	47
LIST	T OF APPENDICES	49
	Appendix E: Table E-1	82
	Appendix E: Table E-2	83
	Appendix E: Table E-3	84

List of Tables

Tabl	e Text	Page
1	Provenance representation on experimental sites	10
2	Classes of persistence	13
3	Classes of straightness	14
4	Classes of branch size	15
5	Classes of branching modes	15
6	Labels	20
7	Two-way analysis of variance and heritability for	
	straightness -arc sin transformation of proportion	
	of best two classes	22
8	List of phenotypic observations and genetic estimates	23
9	Example of phenotypic correlations between	
	4 quality characters	26
10	Grouping of recorded characters	29
11	Example of character substitution within a 4-character	
	index.	30

List of Figures

Fig	ure Description	Page	No.	
1	Provenance sampling S and SE Asia			3
2	Provenance sampling, African landraces			5
3	Trial sites -geographics			6
4	Definition of a bend			17
5	Scoring of persistence and mode of brane	ching		18
6	Outline of data processing system at DFS	C		22
7	The meaning of the provenance-mean he	eritability		24
8	Example of systematic heterogenity withi	n a replicated bloo	:k	27
9	Example of the graphical presentation of	provenance perfo	rmance	
	within an individual experiment			32
10	Plan of the overall investigation across sit	es		34
11	Delineation of broad sense provenance re	egions		35
12	Average genetic estimates of diameter gr	owth for provena	nce-regions	
	in the proposed 4 trial regions			38
	Average performance of provenance regi	ons in plantation	70NA'	
13	South-East Asia: Andhra Pradesh India		Lone.	
15	Thailand Papua New Guinea			39
14	Central America: Mexico, St. Croix			40
15	West Africa moist + Brazil:			41
16	West Africa, semi-moist to dry: N-Nigeria	, Ivorv Coast, Gha	na	42
-		, . , . ,	-	

Acknowledgement

In the long process of preparing the present paper it is evident that many people in different contexts have been involved. This is appreciated when we consider all the operations connected with the conduct of international provenance trials ranging from collections, distribution, field trial establishment and management, assessment and evaluation, to which may be added the adaption and/or development of methodologies.

Thus we are under obligation to a great many individuals, institutes and organizations for technical, organizational and financial contribution to the study of teak's genetic potential. It is regrettably not possible to mention all the persons or organizations to whom we owe our thanks during the 15 years that have passed since collections were started.

However, we would like to pay a special tribute to the hosts of the trials. Without the cooperation of forest departments and forest research personnel in running the experiments and participating in the assessments, the evaluation would not have been possible.

Among the different institutes supporting the assessment and evaluation we are specially indebted to the Arboretum in Hørsholm, Denmark, for generously placing staff-members at our disposal particularly in the field of statistical analysis and interpreul.tion of data.

Finally we gratefully acknowledge the painstaking and laborious job made by our secretaries at the Seed Centre in transforming the handwritten manuscript with tables, figures etc. into the present paper.

> H. Keiding Humlebæk December 1986

Abstract

Teak provenance trials have been subject to assessment over a wide range of site conditions in the period 1981-83. The experiments are internationally coordinated through the DANIDA Forest Seed Centre, Denmark, as part of the action programme formulated by the FAO Panel of Experts on Forest Gene Resources in 1969, and were initiated in the early 1970's.

A total of 21 teak provenance trials have been assessed at ages varying from 9-10 years.

The development of an assessment procedure suited for the special features of broadleaved species is described and discussed in details covering purpose, scope and methodology of evaluation.

Traits for the assessment and subsequent evaluation are confined mainly to characters related to and are restricted in number to about 8. Scoring systems are widely applied.

The data handling from field recording to processing by the computer is briefly described and illustrated.

The statistical analyses of the material are carried out and discussed at two levels, namely:

- a) Individual experiments
- b) Across experiments

Severe imbalance in provenance representation across sites limits possibilities for provenance x site interaction studies. Nevertheless, an attempt is made to delineate a number of plantation/breeding zones and to demonstrate average performance of whole provenance regions within each of these.

Individual provenance recommendations are only possible for specific experimental sites.

1. Introduction

A series of internationally coordinated provenance trials of the broadleaved species: teak, Tectona grandis, have been subject to evaluation for a number of quantitative and qualitative characters during the period 1981-83. The incitement to organize a world-wide testing of seed sources from the natural habitats and some "landraces" of the species, has its origin in the action programme formulated by the FAO Panel of Experts on Forest Gene Resources in 1969, in which teak, among other species, was given top priority for provenance investigations. Collection and distribution of provenance samples were carried out in 1971-73 and, in the years following the collections, trials were established throughout the tropical zone on a variety of site conditions. Details of seed collections are given in chapter 2. In order to give an impression of the material involved in the investigations a summary is presented below:

No. of provo	No. of trials	No. of trials	% of trials
collected	established	evaluated	evaluated
75	48	21	44

Due to the scope of the assessment scheme and the characteristics of broadleaved species the following two aspects of the evaluation had to be specially developed:

- 1. Methods of assessment and recording of multiple characters.
- 2. Methods of handling data and interpretation of the observations.

In the following the procedures applied for these two aspects of the provenance investigations will be described. They will be illustrated by results from the analysis of individual experiments as well as an overall analysis across sites.









Fig. 3: Teak provenance trials evaluated 1982-84.

2. Seed collection anti distribution

2.1. Seed collection

The aim of the collections was to obtain as broad a representation from the whole range of distribution as possible, covering the more typical and distinctly different types of environments. In the concept of provenance this is the first stage in which the magnitude and pattern of variation between populations within a species may be estimated and regions of provenances identified.

The scope of collections was determined by the wide interest throughout the tropics in growing teak as a plantation species and in connection with gene resource conservation to obtain an estimate of gen-ecological variation between populations.

The natural distribution of teak covers a wide area geographically, ranging from the Indian sub-continent in the West through Burma, Thailand and Laos touching Cambodia to the East and naturalized on Java as the southernmost occurrence. Teak grows naturally mainly in the mixed, deciduous forests of the monsoon belt with a distinct seasonal climate (wet and dry seasons). Apart from Java its latitudinal limits are roughly 9° - 25° North, but it is found on a wide range of rainfall regimes (600- 3000 mm annually), varying soil types, topography and tree associations. Thus a number of different habitat correlated populations can be distinguished both on morphological characterstics and adaptability.

In addition to sampling from the natural distribution of teak, collections were also obtained from domesticated populations or socalled "landraces" outside the range as for instance in West- and East Africa. Such local populations or provenances may be of considerable interest for studies of patterns of adaptation and changes in genetic composition.

Three principal sources have been subject to collection as follows:

- a) Indigenous, natural teak.
- b) Plantations deriving from natural teak in the same area.
- c) "Landraces" or local sources, outside the natural range.

Exploration in respect of preparatory investigations of occurrences, sites, assoriations with other species, climatic and environmental data were carried out by studying available literature and seeking advice from local authorities. An important guide for deciding where and what to collect was found in the classification of teak-growing forests in India from Champion & Seth: "A revised survey of the forest types of India", 1964.

According to this classification the following 5 types of natural teak forests are distinguished on the basis of 7 factors (rainfall, soil, biotic factors, percentage of teak, associated species, ground cover and regeneration) and are listed below in order of increasing rainfall regimes:

	Annual Rainfall
i. Very dry teak forest	600 -900 mm
ii. Dry teak forest	900 -1300 mm
iii. Semi-moist teak forest	1300-1600 mm
iv. Moist teak forest	1600-2500 mm
v. Very moist teak forest	> 2500 mm

Similar classifications were not available for the occurrences in the Burma, Thailand, Laos complex although different types of forests are described for Burma (Troup, 1928) and may be distinguished in Thailand (Apichart, 1981). The teak forests on Java constitute a separate group being naturalised teak of probably South Indian origin with more than 400 years of domestication.

During 1971-72, a total of 64 provenance samples were collected for international trials, of which a little more than half came from India. By the excellent cooperation of the Indian Forest Service and Forest Research Institute the Seed Centre managed to collect from 35 different localities distributed over the five different forest types containing teak. Samples from Indonesia, Thailand, Laos and parts of India were collected by the Teak Improvement Centre in Thailand. Other samples have been obtained directly from forest departments in Ghana, Ivory Coast, Togo, Cameroun and Tanzania. Without this support the comprehensive collection would not have been possible. Still a full representation both from the natural habitat and of landraces has not yet been achieved. The full list of provenance samples used in the experiment is shown in appendix A.

The regulations for provenance sampling concerning minimum number of trees and distance between parent trees, as prescribed by IUFRO, (Lines, 1965) were followed as far as possible. Seed from individual trees were mixed. Stands were described according to a standard collection sheet (Keiding 1973).

It was estimated on the basis of enquiries prior to collections that about 20 kg of seed (fruits) would be required from each locality, an estimate that proved to be a little on the low side.

The locations of the collections are shown on maps figure 1. South East Asia and figure 2. Africa.

The method of collection was in all cases picking the seed from the ground.

2.2. Seed distribution

All seed from the collections was sent to the Danish Forest Service Seed Extraction Station in Humleback for storage, registration and subsequent dispatch.

The distribution of seed began in 1972 and the first field trials were established in 1973 followed in 1974 with the majority of trials. Internationally coordinated trials continued to be laid out in 1975 and 1976 at a slower rate. By 1977, a total of 75 provenances were under test on over 50 sites in 16 countries (Keiding, 1977).

The background for distribution of provenance samples was the response to an invitation to join the internationally coordinated trials. No limitations were set for the number of provenances that could be included in the trials provided there was sufficient in stock. However, recipients of seed were asked to include 1-4 standard provenances in each trial. A few guidelines for experimental lay-outs were also given, recommending simple designs as randomized complete blocks with 3-4 replications, plot size of 36 to 49 trees and planting distances of 3 x 3 m. In case it was desired to test more than 15 provenances lattice designs were suggested (Circular letter No.2, 1971).

In the absence of facilities for testing actual germination capacity, the quantity of seed dispatched to individual trials was based on cutting tests and the number of seeds (fruits) per kilo. Combined with the unknown extent of dormancy and varying skill in nursery operations the result in some cases was too few seedlings for the planned trials initially and supplementary seed samples had to be provided where possible.

In retrospect a better balance should have been attempted between local requests and the overall requirements for testing provenance/site interaction. See later under the discussion in section 4.4: Overall analyses across sites. Of the 48 originally established trials, 21 were assessed according to the procedure described in this paper. Of these, 18 trials have been subject to analysis and evaluation. Their distribution is shown on the map in fig. 3. Provenance representation on the 18 trials is shown on Table 1.

3. Selection of traits and their assessment

In order to decide which characters to include in a provenance evaluation scheme as the present, both theoretical and practical aspects of provenance testing have to be considered. That the species in question is broadleaved gives ground for special considerations.

Table 1:			1	2	BRAZIL +	4
Provenance represen- tation on trial sites. Provenances sub-	Provenance Region s	rial Regions Trial Nos. Prov. Nos.	SOUTH-EAST ASIA PNG THA IND 32 38 01 16	CENTRAL AMERICA MEX ST. CROIX 22 45 46 47	W. AFRICA Moist BRA NIG 03 02 24 26	W. AFRICA: Dry + Semi-moist GHA IVC NIG GHA NIC 08 18 28 29 09 30
divided into broad provenance regions and trials into trial-regions	A S INDIA: Dry Interior	3002 3003 3005 3007 3008 3015 3022 3023 3032		x		x x x x
PNG = Papua New Guinea THA = Thailand IND = India MEX = Mexico	Bl INDIA: Moist West Comst	3010 3012 3013 3016 3017 3018 3019 3020 3021 3071	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x			
NIG = Nigeria GHA = Ghana IVC = Ivory Coast	82 INDIA: Semi-moist East Coast	3026 3033 _ 3034 3035 3036 SN 0001			x x x	
	H1 THAILAND	SC 3038 3039 3040 3041 3042 3043 SN 0133	x x		× × ×	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x
	H2 LAOS	SC 3053 3054 3055 3056 3057 3058 3059 3060 3061	x	x	x	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x
-	D INDONESIA	SC 3047 3048 3049 3050 3051 SLTG 14 SLTG 24		× ×		x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x
	E AFRICAN Land- races	SC 3037 3044 3062 3063 3064 3065 3066 3066 3067 SG 01 03 04 SN 119 SNTB 73				
	R LATIN AMERICAN Land- races	SN 78 79 80 81 82 83 SA8				

As the stated object of provenance research with the DANIDA Forest Seed Centre is "to assist tropical countries to select the most appropriate seed sources of important species for establishing plantations under local conditions of climate, soil and for providing a basis for further improvement" (Anon., 1986), then it is implied that choice of characters would primarily be those which are of interest from a utilization point of view. In principle the number and nature of these characters should be adequate for a proper investigation of variability within and between provenances and consequently form a basis for selection of seed sources.

The practical aspects concern the available resources in techniques, time and personnel to assess the trials and for the development of a procedure which is applicable to many experiments at different site conditions. This means actually the establishment of a standard method which may be used by different people and with varying intensity. Ideally a system of that nature should possess:

- a) clear and unequivocal definition of terms and description of characters/ classes,
- b) flexibility in application, and
- c) simplicity in operation and recording.

With these high and to some extent incompatible goals in mind, procedures for the assessment of teak were started in 1981.

However, the assessments of teak were faced with the problem that qualitative characters such as branching habit, persistence and straightness of stem etc., have been undertaken before. Not knowing the patterns and scope of variation of individual characters we had to work out scoring systems and classifications based on observations and guesses combined with trial runs of the system.

3.2. Selection of characters

The assessment comprises 8 characters, which are either measured or scored, and a number of additional descriptions (labels) connected with individual characters:

Characters measured:	1. Height
	2. Diameter
Characters scored:	 Straightness/Crookedness Persistence of axis Branch size Mode of branching Flowering Health

Additional description	ns (labels) at	tached to ch	aracters:
------------------------	----------------	--------------	-----------

Characters	Label
Diameter	9. Survival
	10. Non-descriptive trees
	11. Plus-tree candidates
Health	12. Attack by insects and/or fungi/ bacteria
Persistence	13. Broken axis: two categories
Straightness	14. Basal sweep
Branch size	15. Epicormics
Mode of branching	16. 3 categories of branches influencing branching
Flowering	17. Atypical floweringfrom side- branch

For measuring growth we need diameter and height.

Regarding the quality of the tree, it is generally accepted that a long, straight bole with small knots is desirable from a utilization point of view. Therefore, we include straightness/crookedness, persistence of axis and branchsize in the evaluation. For the judgement of straightness we consider primarily the severeness of bends and secondly their number for the whole length of unbroken axis in each scoring. In other words we do not make separate scorings for sections of the axis. The reason for doing this is that the tendency to crooked or straight growth is best displayed in the upper part of the stem while in the lower part bends may be more or less overgrown, although still present in the interior portion of the stem. As a consequence of the lesser weight given to the lower part of the stem, straightness is not scored for persistence classes 1-3, i.e. where the axis does not persist further than to half the tree height. In our experience nearly all trees with stems or axis shorter than half the tree height are straight or non-descriptive (multiple stems). In all other cases bends visible at the lower half of the unbroken axis indicate usually a severe tendency to crookedness. In scoring straightness a tree has to be observed from two standpoints at right angles to each other.

Mode of branching is connected with quality of a tree in cases where it may be the cause of damage (windbreak) or give access to rot or disease. Forking is a typical example giving a weak joint where the two branches separate. With other types or modes of branching the connection is less obvious. When it nevertheless was decided to include different types of branching in the assessment, the reason was that branching habits might be a means to characterize provenances. From observations it was found that 5 modes of branching could be identified. The types are illustrated in fig. 5., under the description of scorings (sect. 3.3). The scale of scoring of the different types from 1-5, reflecting a valuation of the classes, was later shown to give problems in the analysis, due to uneven distribution to classes and due to classes in certain instances being identical to persistence classes.

Thus "light scatter" and "light forking" would only occur in persistence class 5, "spreading, regular branching" only in class 6, while "double limbs" and "pronounced scatter" might occur in 3 and 2 different persistence classes respectively. The effect of this was firstly that a meaningful average for branching habit could not be obtained and secondly that mode of branching would not add new information to the index system applied to the quality characters (see later).

However, the registration of different modes of branching has disclosed some provenance dependency and thereby helped to identify provenances.

Flowering in teak is considered an important factor in determining quality of a tree by its connection with persistence of axis and branching habit (Gram & Syrach Larsen, 1958).

Flowering is in almost all trees initiated in the terminal bud of the axis. The big inflorescence, when it dies after one growth season, disrupts the continuous growth of the axis and causes normally two opposite branches to take over and the branching-out to start. Therefore the first occurrence of flowering in relation to age and height of the trees is important to register, in order to investigate its future effect on other qualities such as persistence and branching habit. There are strong indications of flowering having a high heritability and that selection, although unintentionally, has led to early (in age) flowering populations or landraces, where teak has grown as an exotic (West Africa, Trinidad). It should be noted that emphasis has been laid on observing initiation of flowering rather than on abundance, and therefore the scoring of this character is particularly relevant in younger stands where the trees pass into the mature stage.

Health, as will be noted, is recorded in 3 classes only. For a simple survey of the state of health we have found it appropriate to distinguish between the following 3 categories of tree:

- a. those which were attacked by both insects and fungi/bacteria,
- b. those attacked by either insects or fungi /bacteria, and
- c. those which were free of disease.

The aim of applying such a simplified registration of health is to obtain a general impression of healthiness of the provenances on different sites irrespective of the agents causing the pests or disease. Thus in principle only the symptoms and the number of trees affected were registered but not the degree of damage made to individual trees. The latter, it was realized, would require more time and specialised knowledge than we were able to provide for the present assessment scheme.

However, efforts should be made to complement these preliminary investigations with more in-depth studies of how pests and diseases effect individual trees, how they occur on sites and in regions and how provenances vary in susceptility to them. A comparison of provenances for state of health on many sites is complicated by the fact that different agents on different sites are responsible for the varying effects. For instance in parts of Thailand the beehole borer is doing severe damage while defoliators are of minor importance and root disease does not occur. In India the defoliators are widespread and affect the growth of teak while beehole borers are absent, at least from the provenance trials. In West Africa a root disease may do damage or kill trees and in Brazil a bark disease occurs which has not been observed elsewhere.

Thus the present recording of health merely informs us about which provenances in general are healthy or sick while subsequent and detailed investigations should reveal more specifically which provenances are susceptible to certain diseases or pests, to which extent they harm the trees and what role the site conditions are playing.

It may be asked why **wood quality** has not been included in the assessment of teak. Teakwood is generally of high quality and in respect of density not much provenance variation has yet been observed (Smeathers, 1951; Purkayastha *et al.* 1975). The most sought after characteristics of teawood are connected with the colour of the heart wood and formation or deformation of black streaks. Neither of these are possible to investigate by simple means such as taking out smaller wood cores, but would in more advanced stages of breeding certainly be factors to consider.

3.2.1 Field recording

This is carried out on data recording forms, of which a specimen is shown in appendix B.

The form contains a section for basic information about the experiment and its material, consisting of the first 6 main headings (from the left) with 10 sub-headings. Further, a section for the actual data of the 8 characters measured or scored, plus one column in reserve. Within the column of each character there is a sub-division into two columns headed by 'D' for data and 'L' for label respectively. The 'label' column is used for the additional descriptions. As all headings are given in full text, the forms may be used without prior knowledge of the coding system, which is applied in the transfer of data to the computer (see fig. 6). An indication of the coding system may be seen under each character name, e.g. 9-2, 21-D etc.

One recording form may register 30 individual trees. In order to use the recording form correctly and uniformly, explanatory notes were worked out (Keiding, 1981). The notes contain detailed information about terms, prefixes, classifications and descriptions. Basically the terms and the coding system are the same as those used at the tree breeding section of the Arboretum, Hørsholm, and the data is stored in and retrieved from that system. New terms and descriptive methods have naturally been made to suit the special features of teak.

Although such explanatory notes are essential for a uniform assessment over many sites and with different persons involved, they have to be complemented by exercises in the field. Whenever new officers were engaged in the assessments, time was taken to explain the application of the system and in particular the scorings and classifications while the comprehension of the system was checked from time to time during the field recording.

Manpower and time required for carrying out assessments of teak are as follow's:

Height + diameter	2 persons (+ 1 person in difficult terrain)
Scoring	1 person
Recording	1 person

i.e. 4-5 persons. A team of this size can conveniently complete 12 plots each of 25 trees, in a 7-hour working day. Thus a trial comprising 10 provenances in 5 replicates can be assessed in approximately 4 days, to which must be added time for supplementary descriptions.

3.2.2 Scorings

Obviously scorings may be considered from a number of view points and as most of the assessment consists of scorings of different characters, it may be of interest to discuss the systems in different contexts, e.g. recording capture of variation, genetic information and implications for statistical handling.

As stated earlier the choice of characters to be assessed depended on their assumed importance for utilization in matters of production and quality. The ideal tree from this point of view is considered to be a healthy, vigorous tree with a straight, cylindrical and clean bole, a stem persisting to the top of the crown and a spreading branching with branch angles around 90°, to mention the visual characters only. In adopting the ideal tree-image a more or less diliberate valuation of classes is taking place. This is reflected in the marks allocated to the different classes, the highest score being given to the best class approaching the ideal. However, in the analyses presented later in this paper it is the distribution or frequencies of trees in different classes which have been subject to analyses, while the scores have merely been used as designations or codes for individual classes. A better founded evaluation is obtained when economic values are attached to characters and classes as demonstrated in the final presentation of results.

Some other aspects of the scoring and its applicability should be considered.

- i. Age, or rather height and development of the trees are decisive for the scoring of a number of characters, e.g. stem straightness, branching, flowering and health conditions. An assessment as proposed above and in the following would need, as a lower limit, an average tree height of about 5 meters. An upper limit will no doubt also exist but about this we are less certain.
- ii. Individual characters need different considerations and number of classes will vary accordingly. For instance stem straightness has been allocated 5 classes while flowering has only been given 3.

- iii. A scoring system should be applied to one character only. For example "persistence" and "straightness" are kept separate in the assessment of stem-form.
- iv. The identification of classes and thereby their numbers within each scoring system should be based on previous observations of the variability. As indicated under point ii, the number of classes depends on the individual character, its pattern of variation and the information required. When applying only 3 classes for scoring of flowering the reason is that we only require to know whether flowering has started or not and, if begun, whether it was recent (few) or of older date (many).
- v. A pre-requisite for carrying out most of the scorings is that these take place in the leafless season.

3.2.3 Supplementary descriptions of characters

In the course of the assessments we often come across characteristics which are connected with individual characters but occur independently of classes. In order to catch these supplementary descriptions of characters without resorting to classifications, the concept of "labels" has been introduced. The object of the label system is to refine the distinction between provenances in supplement to the results from the scorings and to specify information about the state of the experiment such as site variation and unmeasured trees.

In a way the label-system may be considered as a formalised or standardised notebook.

To illustrate the application of labels, the scoring of persistence in teak, for instance, may be supplemented with the information that the interruption of continuous growth was caused by an accidental break (label A) or that the persistence included a "kink" or a "near-break" (label K). Dead, missing or non-measurable trees are recorded in connection with measuring diameter/height and informs directly about survival. With the position control of individual trees it may also give indications of site variation (labels: - and 0).

As the information from labels differ in nature and purpose, the handling of them is complex and, at the time of writing, no attempt has been made to include labels in the analyses.

The labels used in the assessments are listed at the end of chapter 3.3, table 6, in which individual label codes are indicated as well as the characters to which they are allocated.

3.3 Description of scorings and labels

Stemform

Persistence of axis: 6 classes

The total height of the tree is divided visually into 4 equal portions. The length of unbroken axis is scored according to the quarterly section to which it can be followed. In addition two classes, one at the beginning and one at the end of the scale, are recorded. See table 2 and fig. 5:

Table 2: Classes of persistence, teak

	Score
Double or multiple stem from ground level	1
Axis (single stem) branches out in the lowest	2
quarter of tree	
Axis branches out in 2nd quarter of tree	3
Axis branches out in 3rd quarter of tree	4
Axis branches out in 4th quarter of tree	5
Complete persistence	6

Straightness of stem: 5 classes

Straightness is only recorded for persistence classes 4-6, because judgement of bends on that relatively small portion of stem in classes 1-3 is considered irrelevant.

Table 3: Classes of straightness, teak

	Score
Crooked - more than 3 serious bends	1
Crooked 1-2 serious bends	2
Slightly crooked, many bends	3
Slightly crooked, few bends	4
Straight	5

A bend is defined as the distance between two tops of a stem, as indicated in fig. 4:



Figure 4:

A bend is considered serious if the side of the stem curves outside a straight line (imaginary axis) drawn through the length of a bend or if bends can be recognized at breast height.

Branching habit

Branch size (thickness): 5 classes

The classification of branch "coarseness" is a relative measure of branch size in proportion to the stem at the foot of the branches.

Table 4:	Classes of	branch size
----------	------------	-------------

	Score
Very heavy: branches from 1/2 - 3/4 of stem	1
Heavy: branches about ½ of stem	2
Medium: branches between $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{4}$ of stem	3
Light: branches around 1/4 of stem	4
Very light: branches less than 1/4 of stem	5

Branch size may be a little difficulty to classify as branches within a tree vary in size. Therefore the scoring must be based on a general impression (average size) of the branch thickness.

Mode of Branching: 5 classes

For the application of this scoring system certain conditions are required: trees must a) be leafless or almost leafless and b) have reached an eaverage height of 8-10 meters.

The different modes of branching are illustrated in figure 5. They are named and classified as shown in the figure and in Table 5:

Table 5:Classes of branching modes

	Score
Double limbs	1
Scattered branching pronounced	2
Light forking	3
Scattered branching - light	4
Regular, spreading branching	5



Figure 5: Scoring of persistence and mode of branching

To help to distinguish between the five different types some additional description is given below:

Forking:	branch angle between the two limbs $< 30^{\circ}$, branches of equal or almost equal size.
Scatter:	branch angle between two or more leaders $>30^{\circ}$
Light:	scatter or forking occurs in the quarter of the tree corresponding to persistence class 5.
Pronounced/ heavy:	scatter or forking takes place in one of the 3 lowest quarters of the tree corresponding to persistence classes 1-4 (both inclusive)

The individual classes may be described further by three different labels R, M and X, (see table 6) in order to cover variations independent of classes which do not justify special classification. See under the heading "Supplementary descriptions of characters".

Flowering: 3 classes

	Score
Many: 3 - more inflorescences	1
Few: 1 - 2 inflorescences	2
Nil: no inflorescence	3

State of health

Only a simple recording has been used for the present evaluation:

	Score
Affected by both pests and diseases	1
Affected by either insects or fungi/	2 +
bacteria label	
Free of attacks - healthy	3

Note: For class 2 a lable indicating insects (G) or fungi/bacteria (C) may be added.

Table 6:Labels

Character		Score
Height or diameter:	-	Tree is dead
(0	Tree present but not
		measured -too small
J	Е	Replacement
-	+	Plus tree candidate
State of health:	G	Attacked by insects
(class 2 only)	С	Attacked by fungi/bacteria
Persistence:	К	Kink or broken axis, cause un-
		known
1	А	Broken axis, clearly accidental
		break
Straightness: 1	В	B Basal sweep
Branch size:	Е	Presence of epicormic branches
Mode of branching:	R	Repeated forking
I	Μ	Individual, bigger branches with
		narrow branch angles, easily rec-
		ognizable from the main portion
		of branches.
	Х	Several large branches rising from
		almost the same point. Axis still
		remaining.
Flowering:	А	Flowering from side branches. An
		atypical flowering habit.

4. ANALYSIS OF OBSERVATIONS

4.1. Data handling

Experience from many places has shown that the following stages of evaluation: field recording, data handling, statistical analysis and interpretation constitute a rather lengthy and demanding process which requires organisation as well as skill. In many cases these stages develop into bottlenecks which may postpone important decisions for years. In our case the development of the system has taken 18 months.

An outline of the procedure used at the DANIDA Forest Seed Centre is shown in figure 6 (page 22). Two outputs to hosts of the individual experiments are indicated; the left one in the figure concerning the actual experiment and the right one, whole series of experiments of which the local one is a member among others.

4.2. Statistical analyses of individual experiments

The aim of these analyses has been:

- a) To quantify the reliability of each experiment
- b) To give genetic estimates of provenances for each character
- c) To give a survey of the aggregate genetic value of provenances concerning health, growth and quality.

The tool with which to obtain this is primarily the 2-way analysis of variance of plot-means.

4.2.1 Type of characters and transformations

The characters defined in Chapter 3 can be classified into 3 groups:

- a) Quantitative or metric characters
- b) Semi-quantitative characters (scorings)
- c) Qualitative or discrete characters, in this case threshold characters (scorings).

a) Quantitative or metric characters

Examples are diameter and height. Provided a sufficient number of trees have survived in a plot, plot means are calculated. These mean values are computed in a number of alternative ways, one of which is the simple arithmetric mean. For diameter, a basal area weighted mean is calculated as well. Fig.6: Outline of data processing system

at DFSC



b) Semi-quantitative characters

Trees have for certain characters been referred to classes whose values, from 1-6 for example, increase at a linear rate. This situation is an inbetween stage, between the true quantitative "metric" characters and the simple either/or scoring of the qualitative (discrete) characters. As a consequence of this, two alternative analyses have been performed, one based on simple arithmetric means of the scorings and the other based on an arc-sin transformation of the proportion of the best one or two classes.

c) Qualitative or discrete characters

Here the atypical example is survival. The difficulty is that proportions follow the binomial distribution, i.e. the variance is dependent of the survival level. The analysis of variance requires the same variance for all observations - in our case plot-means. The arc sin transformation is applied to obtain this through the whole range of proportions from 0-1.

4.2.2. The two-way analysis of variance

The two-way analysis of variance of plot means has been performed for each character at each site, according to the usual model:

$$\mathbf{Y}_{ij} = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}_i + \boldsymbol{\beta}_j + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{ij}$$

where:

. .

Υ	Ξ	plot mean
$\mu^{\perp j}$	=	overall mean
αi	=	provenance effect
ßi	Ξ	replication effect
€j	=	random error

Variance components have been estimated and F-tests as well as heritability of the provenance means have been calculated. An example from experiment IP016, India, is shown in table 7.

Table 7: Two-way analysis of variance and heritability for straightness - arc sin transformation of proportion of best two classes.

Source of	Sums of	d.f.	Mean	E(ms)	F-ratio
variation	squares		squares		
Provenances	0.75192	10	0.07519	$\sigma^2 + 4\sigma^2 \operatorname{prov}$	1 2 (***
Replications	0.16393	3	0.05464	$\sigma^2 + 11\sigma^2$ repl	0 4.30***
Error	0.51711	30	0.01724	σ^2	JO 3.1/*
					J

$$h^{2} = \frac{VG}{\text{prov.mean } V_{G} + V_{E}} = \frac{\sigma^{2} \text{ prov.}}{\sigma^{2} \text{ prov} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{r}}$$
(Burley & Wood, 1976)

where:

r =number of replications

 σ^2 prov. is the variance component for provenance.

h² for experiment IP016 is then: **0.77**

In this particular case the experiment has balanced, but very often we run into situations where one or several plots are missing. If there are several missing plots, we have to rely on advanced computer programmes such as the SAS package of statistical programmes and use procedures such as GLM and VARCOMP to obtain F-tests and epected mean squares (Barr et al. 1979, Freund and Littel, 1981). In these cases provenances have different provenance-mean heritabilities due to different values of r.

4.2.3 Genetic estimates of provenances

The next problem is to derive, for each character, genetic estimates of the provenances in the experiment. If the experiment is balanced, the simple provenance-mean is interpreted as a phenotypic expression of that particular provenance, amongst a "population" of other provenances represented in the environment of the field test. As with individuals within populations, the reliability of the phenotypic observation as an expression of the genotype is expressed by the heritability (Falconer, 1960). See figure 7 and table 8.



Fig.7:The meaning of provenance-mean heritability

Table 8: List of phenotypic observations and genetic estimates

Prov.	Phenotypic observation prov.means ⁺) Pi	h²	Genetic estimate*) Gi
1 2 3 4	+ 10% 0 - 15 + 4		+ 5% 0 - 8 + 2
Average	0		0

* expessed by deviations from the experimental mean.

As can be seen from table 8 all phenotypic observations, as well as genotypic estimates, are transformed to deviations from the grand mean or "population" mean. By the outlined method we can obtain h^2 between 0 and 1. Low h^2 coincides with lack of significance in the F-test of provenance means. We have carried out the calculations in all cases where $h^2 > 0$, thereby breaking down the awkward separation of "significant" from "non-significant" cases. Even a "bad" experiment has some information worthwhile gathering. If the eperiment is imbalanced, we cannot use simple mean values any longer for estimates of phenotypic values as the variation between the replications give bias to the simple provenance average. The GLM procedure computes an adjusted mean (LS-mean) which solves this problem (Freund and Littel, 1981).

In the imbalanced case we, furthermore, have different provenance-mean h^2 . If a provenance is represented only in some of the replications, that particular provenance mean, calculated with an adjustment by the LS-mean procedure, is estimated with reduced precision. That means that the environmental effect (VE) is larger, and therefore the provenance mean heritability, for that particular provenance is lower according to the general formula.

$$h^2 = \frac{V_G}{V_G + V_E}$$

 $\rm V_{\rm \scriptscriptstyle E}$ is - in this case - calculated as the square of the standard deviation of the actual LS-mean.

4.2.4 Correlation between characters

Correlation between characters is important to consider in any selection. Correlation can be studied at different levels, e.g. at the level of individual trees or provenance-means. In the simple job of provenance evaluation and selection, correlations at the level of provenance means are most important. Simple correlations between characters can be computed on provenance mean values (phenotypic values) and we denote such correlations: **phenotypic correlations**. To which extent such correlations reflect genetics at the provenance level depends on the reliability of the phenotypic provenance means, i.e. the provenance-mean h². If these are all high for the recorded characters as in the example from trial IP 038 in Thailand, we have found the following estimates based on provenance-means of phenotypic correlations:

Table 9: Example of phenotypic correlations between 4 quality characters

$h^2 \sim 0.41^+$	$h^2 \sim 0.51^+$	$h^2 \sim 0.68^{+++}$	h ² $\sim 0.84^{++}$
1.00	-0.09	-0.26	0.13
	1.00	-0.11	0.02
		1.00	0.40^{+}
			1.00
	$h^2 \sim 0.41^+$ 1.00	$h^2 \sim 0.41^+$ $h^2 \sim 0.51^+$ 1.00 -0.09 1.00	$\begin{array}{cccccc} h^2 \sim 0.41^+ & h^2 \sim 0.51^+ & h^2 \sim 0.68^{+++} \\ \hline 1.00 & -0.09 & -0.26 \\ & 1.00 & -0.11 \\ & 1.00 \end{array}$

4.2.5 Adjustments for uneven environments within blocks.

One of the important contributions to the exprimental error of field experiments laid out as randomized block experiments is uneven blocks. That is the unavoidable environmental heterogenity within each of the replicated blocks. Sometimes, this heterogenity is systematic, where for instance some sort of general trend affect a cross section as shown in figure 8:

Fig.8: Example of systematic heterogenity within B replicated block.



In such cases we use the plot position within blocks as covariable in an analysis of covariance (ANOCOV) and test the significance of such effects. when ANOCOV is applied, adjusted provenance-means are tested and obtained by the SAS-procedure GLM with LS-mean statements. In the analysis of each experiment a whole array of ANOCOV-models as well as the simple 2-way ANOVA model have been attempted and the most appropriate model is selected. A number of criteria are used in the selection of models, such as:

> low error variance, high between-provenance variance component, simplicity, a certain balance in consumption of degrees of freedom for tracing genetic versus environmental effects.

It often happened that an ANOCOV-model was able to make a better separation of provenances than the simple 2-way ANOVA. A special paper about these adjustments is under preparation.

4.3. Analyses of multiple traits within individual experiments.

As already described previously, quite a number of characters have been recorded. Therefore, it is desirable to "boil down" the large number of observations to simple terms.

4.3.1. Three separate indices for health, growth and quality

Our first approach has been to construct 3 separate indices, one for health, another for growth and a third for quality. The separate characters behind these headings are listed in table 10.

The term "index" has been used in the classical meaning and is referred to as the Smith-Hazel Index (Lin 1978). In our first approach we have not found it appropriate to calculate the full index, as this requires good estimates of genetic parameters in the actual field tests, and this requirement is seldom fulfilled for all characters. What we have actually done is to calculate the aggregate genetic value for each provenance :

 $H_i = \sum_{j} a_j g_{ij}$

where:

 H_i = aggregate genotypic value for provenance i

$$a_j = \text{economic weight of trait } j, \sum_{j} a_{j}g_{ij} = 1$$

 $g_{ij} = Genetic estimate of provenance i for trait j, <math>\sum_{i} g_{ij} = 0$

This is an approximation to the complete index w which is reasonably simple to construct.

	Tab]	le 10: Gro wei	uping of rec ghts and agg	corded char gregate ger	acters and composinetic values.	tion of economic
GROUP/ Observations Economic weig						Derived
	TEADING	Oniginal	Sonlo of	Plot	Property Seeled	dopotio volvo

HEADING						aggregate
	Original Character	Scale of record.	Plot Statistics	Propor- tions	Scaled to unity	genetic value
			Drevention		—(aj)——	Hi =∑ajgij
1 HEALTH	General Health	1-3	of healthy trees	1	0.33	
	Survival	1,2	Proportion of surviving trees	2	0.67	
Total	Health			3	1.00	Hi (health)
2 GROWIH	Diameter	Cm	Basal area weighted average diameter	1	1.00	Hi (groweb)
	or alterna	atively:				
	Diameter Plot area	CM M²	Basal area per ha.	1/2*)	0.50*)	Hi (growth)
3 QUALITY	Persist- ence	1-6	Proportion of best class	2	0.33	
	Straight- ness	1-5	Proportion of best two classes	1	0.17	
	Branch size	1-5	_ " _	1	0.17	
	Flowering	1-3	Proportion of non- flowering trees	2	0.33	
Total	Quality			6	1.00	Hi(quality)

For all characters going into the Index: the higher the plotstatistics, the higher the value.

*) A 5% increase in diameter corresponds to a 10% increase in basal area/ha. Therefore we must reduce the weight of the latter with 50%.
4.3.2 Economic weights

The economic weighting is an attempt to catalogue the value of one unit of character A in comparison with one unit of character B.

For the 4 quality characters we have more or less arbitrarily judged a 10% increase of proportion of "straight trees" to be of the same economic importance as a 10% increase in the proportion of "finebranched trees". The character persistence has been judged to be twice as important as straightness, i.e. a 10% increase in proportion of "persistent trees" has been assigned the same value as a 20% increase in proportion of straight trees.

By demanding that economic weights within each index sum up to 1.00, we obtain the advantage that all indices are scaled equally, i.e. an index value of + 5 means a genetic determined 5% higher value than the experimental average which is set to zero.

Certain precautions should be kept in mind when interpreting these rather simplified indices. In **Appendix C** a worked example of the whole procedure is given for a typical field experiment.

Lack of much variation between provenances in certain experiments is very often due to variable site conditions within experimental areas (height error variance -> low provenance-mean heritability). In the final summary table in appendix C, the actual heritability of each character is stated as well as the experimental average.

Another feature of for instance the quality index is that the different characters can substitute each other, so a given index-value can be obtained by different character combinations.

If we compare the two provenances in Exp. IP 001, 3043 and SN 133, they sum up to aggregate genetic values of + 6 and + 7% respectively. These indices have been obtained in the following way.

Prov	Genetic Estima	Aggr.			
	Straight- ness	Persistence	Branch Size	Lack of Flowering	Genetic Value $H_i = \sum_{j} a_j g_{ij}$
3043 SNO 133	+ 5 + 17	+ 10 + 7	- 2 + 4	+ 7 + 2	6.16 6.50
Economic weight a	0.167	0.333	0.167	0.333	

Table 11: Example of character substitution within a 4-character Index.

4.3.3 Provenance performance within indvidual experiments.

The actual performance of the tested provenances on the different sites is tabulated in Appendix D, table 1, 2 and 3. These 3 tables present health, growth and quality respectively and the unit is the index, i.e. the aggregate genetic value in percent deviation from the local experimental average.

In appendix D, fig 2-19 individual histograms for each experiment is presented. One of these histograms is presented in fig. 9. In these histograms it is possible to obtain a visual impression of the characteristic pattern of each tested provenance concerning health, growth and quality. In each figure a box presents appropriate key statistics about the experiment, i.e. averages and heritabilities for each trait which is contributing to the 3 indices.

4.4. Overall analyses across sites

Although local experiments may be useful for local choice of provenances, some sort of broader scope is needed.

The aim of overall analyses across sites is to develop provenance recommendations in different plantation zones. The actual plan of the investigation across sites is presented in fig. 10.

4.4.1 Description of method and its application

According to the flowchart in fig. 10, the first step ① is to group provenances into broad provenance regions. These groups are already indicated in the list of provenance collection sites in appendix A and shown on the map, figure II.

As indicated, we have used the term "provenance region" for quite extensive areas. This is considered in accordance with the official definition of provenance regions as stated by OECD, 1974: the area or group of areas subject to sufficiently uniform ecological conditions on which are found stands showing similar phenotypic or genetic characters.

The ecological conditions we have used are mainly annual rainfall in association with broad geographic regions.

The reason why we prefer to delineate so large areas as provenance regions is that the provenance representation on sites is very "thin" and imbalanced (table 1) and therefore we are only able to develop general recommendations concerning whole provenance regions in equally broad plantation zones.

From Table 1 it is painfully evident that provenance representation on the evaluated trial sites is very incomplete. As provenances as well as trials are

IP 001, HUEY SOM POI, Thailand

Lat. 18° 40' N. Long. 99° 55' E. Elev. 350 m. Annual rainfall: 1400 mm. 8 provenances tested



stratified into 2 levels, interactions too can be studied at more than one level. The study of provenance x site interactions has been limited to natural populations or populations with a long adaptable history. Excluded from the study are therefore African and Latin American landraces, whereas the Indonesian populations are included due to their presumed long history of adaptation on Java.

The study of provenance x site interaction has furthermore been limited to growth. This character is the most basic and most susceptible to environments, provided survival and health is satisfactory and this is generally the case with the evaluated experiments.

A number of ANOVA models were attempted for diameter growth. Basic unit was provenance-mean at each site. The problem of different validity of each experiment due to uneven provenance-mean heritabilities were solved by using these as weight factors.

Fig. 10: Plan of overall investigations across sites.





Analysis No.1, step '3': Problem: Do interactions occur?

ANOVA-model.

$$D_{j(i), l(k)} = m + a_i + b_{j(i)} + c_k + d_{l(k)} + f_{ik} + l_{j(i), l(k)}$$

where:

 $D_{i(i), l(k)}$ = Diameter of prov. j within prov.-reg. i on trial l within trial reg. k

 $\begin{array}{lll} m = & \text{Overall mean} \\ a_i = & \text{Effect of provenance region i} \\ b_{j(i)} = & \text{Effect of provenance j within provenance region i} \\ c_k = & \text{Effect of trial region k} \\ d_{l(k)} = & \text{Effect of trial l within trial region k} \\ f_{ik} = & \text{interaction between prov.reg. i and trial reg. k} \\ l_{i(i), l(k)} = & \text{random error} \end{array}$

This analysis yielded a highly significant provenance-region x trial-region interaction. See Appendix E.

Analysis no. 2, step '4' : Problem: A critical look at the applied trial regions.

Within each of the 6 trial regions and for all trials pooled together:

ANOVA-MODEL

 $D_{ik} = m + a_i + c_k + e_{ik}$

Where:

 D_{ik} = Diameter of prov reg. i in trial k

a; = Effect of provenance region i

 $c_k = Effect of trial k$

eik = Random error, including prov. x site interaction

Concerning the error variance Within individual trial regions the following estimates came out.

Trial Region	SS	d.f	MS					
1	45.75	44	1.0400					
2	17.48	20	0.8742					
3	6.967	12	0.5806					
4	0.3804	2	0.1902					
5	4.310	19	0.2268					
6	11.22	12	0.9346					
Sum	86.107	109	0.7900 ^{x)}					
ND 1 1								

Within trial-region error variance:

x) Pooled estimate within all 6 trial regions

This pooled estimate of within-trial region error variance can be compared with the error-variance in the analysis across all sites (ignoring trial regions).

The actual	estimates	came	out as	follows:

	Pooled within trial region	One overall trial region
d.f.	109	125
MS	0.7900	0.9622

As can be seen, our error variance, much of which is composed of provenance x site interaction, is reduced by the applied sub-division into trial regions. The individual ANOVA's are presented in appendix E, tables E2-E3. By studying the ranking of provenance-regions in trial regions, trial regions 3 and 4 could be pooled together as well as trial regions 5 and 6, ending up with 4 reasonably homogenous trial regions:

Former No.	Final No	Trial-Region	No. of Trials
1	'1'	South-East Asia	4
2	'2'	Central America	4
3	101	Brazil and West	4
4	2	Africa - moist	4
5	. 41	S West Africa	6
6	4	dry	

Average genetic estimates of provenance-regions in the proposed 4 trial regions are shown on fig. 12.

This rather primitive trial and error method is applied because the "thin" provenance representation is insufficient to other more objective multivariate classification methods which have been applied at other series of provenance experiments (Wellendorf, Werner and Roulund 1986).

4.4.2 Proposed plantation zones and provenance performances

The aim of the overall analyses across sites was to give provenance recommendations in specified plantation zones.

According to the investigation lined up in fig. 10 we have detected severe provenance x site interactions for diameter growth and 4 trial regions are identified. In other words each of these trial regions has a characteristic variation pattern between provenance-regions concerning growth (figure 12). A practical result of this is, that provenance recommendations must be different in these trial regions.

Consequently, the trial regions may be considered as initial plantation zones concerning choice of provenances for plantation establishment and as initial breeding zones concerning choice of provenance for further breeding.

The average performance of provenance regions within these 4 plantation/ breeding zones is presented in figures. 13-16.

Figure 12: Average genetic estimates of diameter growth for provenance-regions in the proposed 4 trial regions



38



Average performance of provenance regions for health, growth and quality in trial region

2 , Central America

(Mexico, Puerto Rico) represented by 4 trials.

-



Figure 14:

Figure 15:

Average performance of provenance regions for health, growth and quality in trial region

3 , West Africa, moist + Brazil

(Nigeria South, Brazil-Aracruz) represented by 4 trials.





5. Recommendations

5.1. General procedure

In specific cases, i.e. choice of provenance in a specified area, the following procedure is recommended:

- a) allocate your area to one (or two) of the 4 plantation/breeding zones.
- b) find out, if one or more of the analyzed provenance trials is located in your neighbourhood.

Based on these two pieces of information, a general and a specific, it should be possible to make your specific choice of provenances, dependant of your own judgement of importance of health, growth and quality. Your choice should, of course, also be based on other sources of information, if available, for instance, already established plantations of known origin and possible local networks of provenance tests. Of course all this is not a guarantee that your favourite is the ultimate "right" choice. An array of possible errors or deficiencies are listed below:

- i) Environments may vary within our very "thin" network of trials.
- ii) Our evaluation is performed at a rather early stage 10 years of a, say, 60 year rotation.
- iii) There is still an appreciable amount of genetic variation within certain provenance regions.
- iv) No samples from one of the major teak sources, Burma, are represented in the trials.
- v) Seed may not be available from the desired sources.

On the other hand, the recommendations are the best available at the present stage. They may constitute a qualified guess as an alternative to seed supply from random local sources.

5.2. A case study for West Africa

Distribution of international provenance trials of teak in West Africa covers the countries of Ghana, Ivory Coast and Nigeria, which in respect of climatic conditions represent more or less the countries boardering the Gulf of Guinea from Sierra Leone in the West to Cameroun in the East. Characteristic for these countries is the change in rainfall regimes from high rainfall areas (> 2000 mm) along the coast to low rainfall areas (< 800 mm) in land boardering the desert. The pattern of precipitation is associated with the length of the dry season, which varies from 0-7 months of the year - also an important factor for growing teak. The traditional zones for establishment of teak plantations are the moist high forest areas and the semi-moist Guinea zone extending with so-called kurmis into dryer conditions of the Sudanian zone i.e. quite a variety of site conditions.

On the basis of the analysis of the provenance trials it has been possible to distinguish between two major plantation zones:

3 West Africa moist + Brazil (fig. 15)

(4) West Africa semi-moist dry (fig. 16)

In order to be more specific in the choice of provenances in a certain area for large-scale planting and breeding purposes the procedure outlined in section 5.1. may be illustrated with the following example:

Target: Improvement of material for planting in a rainfall regime of 1000-1600 mm annually.

Plantation zone: 3

Nearest international provenance trial: IP 028, GAMBARI, Nigeria

A visual impression of provenance region performance and a general conclusion about choice of region can be seen from fig.16.

Assuming that equal weights are given to the 3 character groups: health, growth and quality the following criteria may be used for selection:

- a) Best combined performance (above line) of provenance region in the plantation zone.
- b) Exceptionally good performance in one character group i.e. either health, growth or quality.
- c) Number of provenances (n) within the provenance region.

In plantation zone ④ under consideration, variation between provenance regions seems as a whole to be rather moderate.

Provenance region B1: India, moist west coast is, however, the only one above average in all 3 "characters".

We find better performances in health for both provenance regions, A: India, dry interior and B2: India, semi-moist, east coast, but growth and quality are less, and mostly below average.

It is interesting to note that African landraces (E) perform well in health but not in growth and quality, when considered for the whole of the plantation zone.

The cautious conclusions that may be drawn from the performance of provenance regions in this broadly defined plantation zone ④ illustrated in figure16, would be that material from provenance region B1: India, moist west coast should be exploited further. This applies both to the testing and selection of specific provenances within the region B1 (see later) for different sites and their role as base for breeding populations. Adaptability may need special attention when introducing the sources from high rainfall areas to dryer areas of the zone. However, in several trials on drier sites elsewhere provenances from moist south-west India have survived and grown surprisingly well.

Due to the good health performance of the local race, which reflects high adaptability, it should be used as a second breeding population.

Selection of provenances within region

Plantation zone ④ is a very broad zone comprising a variety of site conditions. The average performances of provenance regions may therefore disguise considerable variation between provenances within regions.

Individual experiments offer a means to disclose the magnitude of this variation and to be more specific in the choice of provenance. Ref. section 5.1.b.

Experiment IP 028, GAMBARI is chosen for such an investigation.

Following the same procedure as outlined above for plantation zones and provenance regions (figure 16) the choice of individual provenances may be carried out using appendix D, figure 12.

Of the 3 provenances from provenance B1 present in the Gambari experiment, 3021, NILAMBUR, India, Kerala would be the first choice, due to its combined performance.

In terms of providing a breeding base, provenance 3033, BERBERA, India, Orissa may be included.

The local provenance SN 119 seems at this locality to equal 3021 and should be used continuously in the breeding programme, and for large-scale plantation establishment.

It should be emphasized that this selection may be adjusted by examining:

- a) The table of key statistics in fig. 12 showing experimental mean, heritability and weight for individual traits, which the background for constructing the histograms = mean of character groups.
- b) Other trials in the same plantation zone growing at similar climatic conditions and containing the same provenance(s) as in Gambari.

Referring to a) and experiment IP 028, Gambari, the quality performance is determined by the characters straightness and persistence only, missing the important characteristic of lack of flowering. This means "quality" is evaluated on lesser evidence than desired.

With reference to b) experiments IP 008 and IP 009 in Ghana (appendix D, figures 5 and 6) are located at almost the same latitude as IP 028, have rather similar rainfall regimes and contain both provenance 3021. Further the quality performance is determined by all 4 individual traits.

Both experiments confirm the choice of provenance 3021, and in case of experiment IP 009, with special emphasis on quality.

5.2.1 Supplementary remarks to case study West Africa

In the preceeding section one situation out of several has been chosen deliberately in order to demonstrate application and limitation of the available data. The principles and method may be used for other situations in West Africa as well as for other plantation zones (other continents), but each "case" where comparison with individual trials is possible should be considered separately.

We have considered such recommendations to be outside the scope of the present paper because this would make it far too bulky and unmanageable. It should also be noted that the presentation of data and graphs is based on one set of economic weights. If these are not considered valid in specific cases, new calculations and evaluations of "quality" and "health" will have to be worked out.

However, in both circumstances the authors are prepared to discuss and take part in the interpretation of results from individual experiments as well as the calculations connected with change of economic weights.

6. REFERENCES

Anon. : 1981

Working Programme for the Centre 1981-86 Danida Forest Seed Centre, Circ. Lett. no.16.

Barr, A. J., J.H. Goodnight, J.P. Sall and W.H. Blair: 1979

SAS User's Guide. North Carolina.

Burley, J. and P.J. Wood: 1976

A manual on species and provenance research with particular reference to the tropics Oxford.

Champion, H.G. and S.K. Seth: 1968

A revised survey of the Forest Types of India. Government of India Press Nasik.

Falconer, D.S.: 1967

Introduction to Quantitative Genetics. The Ronald Press Co., New York. Freund, R.J. and R.C. Littel: 1981

SAS for Linear Models. North Carolina.

Gram, K. and C. Syrach Larsen: 1958

The Flowering of Teak (*Tectona grandis*) in Aspects of Tree Breeding. Nat.Hist. Bull.Siam Society. Vol. 19. Bangkok.

Kaosa-ard, Apichart: 1981

Teak (*Tectona grandis*Linn. f.), its natural distribution and related factors.

Nat.Hist.Bull.Siam.Soc. 29: 55-74.

Keiding, H.: 1971

International Provenance Trials of Teak Circ.Lett. No.2., Danish/FAO Forest Tree Seed Centre.

Keiding, H.: 1973

Status of the international provenance trials of Teak, *Tectona grandis*, L. In: Proceedings of Joint IUFRO Meeting on Tropical Provenance and Progeny Research etc. pp. 300-308, CFI, Oxford.

Keiding, H.: 1973

Case study: Topical hardwoods, *Tectona grandis* L. In: FAO/DANIDA training course on forest tree improvement, Kenya 1973, pp.269-291

Keiding, H.: 1978

Five-year progress report on international provenance trials of teak, *Tectona grandis* L.f. In: Proceed. Joint IUFRO Workshop on Progr. & Problems of Gen. Impr.Trop.For.Trees. Vol. II. CFI Oxford.

Keiding, H., E.B. Lauridsen & H. Wellendorf: 1984

Evaluation of a series of Teak and Gmelina Provenance Trials. Tech.Note No.15. DANIDA Forest Seed Centre.

Lin, C.Y.: 1978

Index selection for genetic improvement of quantitative characters. Theor.appl. Genet. 52, 49-56.

Lines, R.: 1965

Standardisation of methods for provenance research and testing. Report of N.G. Meeting at Pont-'a-Mousson, 1965. In: XIV IUFR0-Congress, section 22, Munchen 1967, p.p. 672-718.

Muniswamy, K.P.: 1978

Population improvement -Teak. In: Third World Consultation on Forest Tree Improvement, VoL 2. pp 512-544.

Purkayasstha, S.K. & K.R. Sathyamurthu: 1975

Relative importance of locality and seed origin in determining wood quality in teak. Indian Forester vol. 101, no.10.

Pinyopusarerk, K. & H. Keiding: 1981

Proposal for standardising assessments in international provenance trials of teak, L. Danida Forest Seed Centre, Circ.Lett.no.15.

Smeathers, R.: 1951

A comparative study of some of the more important Mechanical and Physical properties of Trinidad and Burma grown Teak (*Tectona grandis*. L.) . Imp.For. Inst., Inst., paper no.37, Oxford.

Troup, R. S. : 1921

The Silviculture of Indian Trees. Vol.II Oxford Clarendon Press.

Wellendorf, H., M. Werner & H. Roulund.: 1986

Delineation of breeding zones and efficiency of late and early selection h'ithin and between zones. Forest Tree Improvement,19. Arboretum, Horsholm, Denmark.

List of Appendices

Appendix A: List of Provenance Collection and Trial Sites.

A-1: List of provenance collection sites A-2: Location of evaluated trials

- Appendix B: Data Recording Form.
- **Appendix C:** A worked example of calculation of aggregate genetic values.
 - Table C-1: Assumptions for the quality index IP 038 Thailand
 - Table C-2: IP 038 Thailand Calculations of aggregate genetic values for the 4 quality characters

Table C-3: IP 038 Thailand Summary of the 3 indices for health, growth and quality.

- Appendix D: Provenance performance within individual experiments.
 - Table D-1: Health index values for all available provenances at all sites.
 - Table D-2: Productivity index values for all provenances at all sites.
 - Table D-3: Quality index values for all provenances at all sites.
 - Figure 1: Legend to symbols and abbreviations on individual experiment analysis presented in Appendix D, figures 2-19.
 - Figures 2-19: Graphic presentation of provenance provenance performance on individual sites.
- Appendix E: Provenance x site interaction tests.

Table E-1: Analysis No.1

- Table E-2: Analysis No.2: 2-l-lay ANOVA within each of the 6 trial regions
- Table E-3: Analysis No.2 cont.: 2-way ANOVA of all trials (ignoring trial-regions)

Appendix A Table A-1

No. Designa- tion	Provenance	Lati- tude	Longi- tude	Elev- ation m	Annual Rainfall mm	Provenance Region
3002 03 05 07 08 15 22 23 32	Kolkaz, Maharashtra Nagpur, " Lohara, " Allapally Plains, " Haliyal, Karnataka Antersante, " Bairluty 1, A.P. Bairluty 2, " Jhirpa, M.P.	N 21° 30' 21° 18' 19° 55' 19° 23' 15° 21' 12° 01' 15° 51' 15° 62' 22° 36'	E 77°15' 79°34' 79°20' 80°07' 74°52' 76°17' 78°45' 78°45' 78°28'	496 400 200 160 610 762 305 305 396	1638 1270 1143 1524 1398 890 1016 1016 1016	INDIA DRY INTERIOR A
3010 12 13 16 17 18 19 20 21 71	Dandeli, Bl.II,Karnataka Kolikeri, Arbail, Masale Valley, Mount Stuart I,T.N. "2" Ulandy, Konni, Kerala Nilambur, Mt. Stuart, T.N.	15°10' 15°01' 14°50' 11°55' 10°30' 10°30' 10°23' 9°03' 11°21' 10°30'	74•35' 74•49' 74•41' 76•10' 76•47' 76•47' 76•50' 76•41' 76•21' 76•47'	573 579 69 823 671 640 732 61 49 640	2032 1778-2032 2565 1270 2032 2032 2032 2032 2540 2565 2032	INDIA MOIST WEST COAST B4
3026 33 34 35 36 SN 001	Maripakala, A.P. Berbera, Orissa Purunakote, " Munda Reserve For. Bakbahal, Orissa Local source, A.P.	17°45' 19°52' 20° 20°22' 20°27'	82°15' 85°05' 84° 82°45' 82°47' -	407 100 133 300 315 -	1524 1200-1500 1200-1500 1200-1500 1200-1500	INDIA SEMI- MOIST EAST COAST B2
3038 39 40 41 42 43 SN 0133	Ban Cham Pui Ban Maekut Luang Ban Pha Lai Ban Mae Pam Ban Huey Luang Ban Doi Thon Mae Huat, Selected Seed Stands	18° 29' 16° 49' 18° 13' 19° 02' 18° 14' 19° 03' 18° 45'	99°49' 98°36' 99°59' 99°02' 97°56' 99°59' 99°59'	520 220 450 220 562 300	1200 1644 1100 1200 1282 1200 1200	THAILAND H1

Table A-1: List of provenance collection sites

continued/...

Appendix A Table A-1 cont.

-

No. Designa- tion	Provenance	Lati- tude	Longi- tude	Elev- ation m	Annual Rainfall mm	Provenance Region
SC 3053 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61	Pakse South I " II Savannakhet I " II Pak Lay East Chumpi Vientiane Town Khong Island Pak Lai Main Forest	N 15°04' 15°07' 16°33' 18°13' 18°13' 15°16' 17°56' 14°10' 18°10'	E 105°53' 105°51' 104°45' 104°45' 101°25' 105°49' 102°37' 105°50' 101°15'	170 120 100 150 50-100 50-100 50-100 20	1925 1925 1309 ? 1200 1925 1569 1925 1200	LAOS H2
SC 3047 48 49 50 51 SLTG 14 SLTG 24	Bangsri, Pati Nanas, Blora Ngliron, Ngliron Temandsang Beran, Saradan Papua New Guinea,land- race	S 6°30' 6°57' 7°12' 7°12' 7°35'	E 110°48' 111°30'; 111°22' 111°22' 111°22' 112°45'	75-100 250-280 150 104 60	3900 1700 1200 1200 1830	INDONESIAN * PAPUA NEW GUINEA D
SC 3037 44 62 63 64 65 66 85 01 03 04 SN 119 SNTB 73	Bouake, Ivory Coast Jema, Ghana Yoh, Palime, Togo Tové, Togo Atakpame, Togo Bigwa, Tanzania Kihuwi, " Bambuku, Cameroun Landrace, Ghana" " Landrace, Nigeria" Landrace, Ivory Coast	N 7 • 48, 7 • 50, 6 • 50, 6 • 40, 7 • 30, S 6 • 50, 5 • 12, N 4 • 26, 7 • 50, 7 • 50, 7 • 50, 7 • 50, N 7 • 10, N 7 • 48,	W 5°07; 1°50; E 0°35; 0°40; 1°15; 38°39; 38°39; 38°39; W 9°16; 1°50; 1°50; 1°50; 1°50; 1°50; 1°50; E 3°52; W 5°07;	310 267 350 200 200 580 260 210 - - - -	1200 1700 1300 1450 - - 1900 1100-1600 - 1300-1500 -	AFRICAN LANDRACES E
SN 78 79 80 81 82 83 SAB	Landrace, Mexico* """"""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""	18°26' " " 18°00'	90°43' 		900-1300 	LATIN AMERICAN LANDRACES R

* = geographical locations approximate

52

Table A-2: Location of Evaluated Trials

Trial No.	Country	Site Name	Latitude	Longitude	Annual Rainfall	Elev- ation
001	Thailand	Huey Som Poi	N 18º40'	E 99°55'	1400	350
003	Brazil	Aracruz	S 19º46'	₩ 40°16'	1400	50
005				.,	-	"
800	Ghana	Pra Anum	N 06º15'	W 01º15'	1650	100
009		Tain	N 07º30'	₩ 02°30'	1140	100
016	India	Maredumilli	N 17º36'	E 81043'	1470	500
018	Ivory Coast	Tené	N 06°05'	₩ 05•05'	1300	250
022	Mexico	El Tormento	N 18º26'	₩ 90•43'	900-1300	100
024	Nigeria	Bende	N 05°30'	E 07º38	2150-2540	400
026	"	Sapoba	N 06°01'	E 05º46'	2500	100
028		Gambari	N 07º12'	E 03°53'	1260	400
029	"	Nimbia	N 08°30'	E 09º30'	1750	600
030		Afaka	N 10º37'	E 08•17'	1290	600
032	Papua New	Ederu	S 09º04'	E 147°06'	2000	50
038	Thailand	Pah Nok Krau	N 16°45'	E 102°00'	1300	300
045	Puerto Rico	Rio Abajo	N 18º22'	₩ 66°44'	2400	330
046		Tract 105	N 18° 15′	₩ 65°55'	2100	325
047		St. Croix	N 17° 45'	님 65°50'	. 1500	250

		_	_	 _		_	_		_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_
						\top											T		
		+				+						Ħ					1		
	+ 500			+	++	++				-			+	-		+	+	H	-
	10 10			 ++		++	+		\vdash	-		\vdash	-	-		_	+		
n l	He Σ% 10-6	0																	
ğ	f f olth -A	7						-		+		H	-	-			+		
5	S = B	D										\square	_				_		_
5	- Pero-	1		 ++		+				+		+		+		+	+		-
2	L Z = C	7		 ++	_	++						$\left \right $	-	-		+	-		_
5	ran ize	0										П				-			
ນັ	N 0 0	7															+		_
-	Ster Str.	D																	
D D	C B C	7								-			-				+		_
5	Bra Moi	D																	
	st- st.	7		 			+						-			-	-		_
5	Per Per	9				\downarrow							_			-	_		_
	12-2/	-			++		+						+			+	+		-
'	0.00	-		 +-+			+				-	+	-			+	+	$\left \right $	_
5	n 1 Tree]		 +++	++	+	+			+		+	-	\mathbb{H}		+	+	+	-
2	L lo	-		 ++	++	++	+	+				+	+	+		+	+-	$\left \right $	-
5	Plo Plo	×		++	++	++	+	+	-	+	-		+			+	+	$\left \right $	
5	400	18									-		+		+	-	+		-
2	inted L	old Xeo															\top		
4	nt ial	i an																	
ž	Pla																		
2	X AJOSA	100			++	+			+				-		┥	+	-	- +	_
-	5 's	oc.		++	++		-		+		-				+	+			
บั	te ses	-on													1				
ັ້ນ	AS: 7	Ne.																	
5	6 ÉL														T				
S	Nu be	a.																	
2	apog joj	<u>य</u> <u>द</u>		 	-		ŧ.		-	Ħ			-	H	1	+			_
-		212																	

diel Page:-٢ ζ ¢ Ç 20 Ę t t ۲ 20 ¢ i ч (ł t ω ٦ (1 t C MO

Appendix C : A worked example of calculation of aggregate genetic values.

Table C-1: Assumptions for the quality index IP 038 Thailand

25 provenances tested

		Exp.*)	Prov-mean	Economic weights			
Characters in the index	Unit	nean	ability h ²	prop.	scaled to unity		
Straightness	Proportion of class	0.15	.42	1	.167		
Persistence	- " - class 6	0.30	.52	2	.333		
Branch size	- " - " 4 and 5	0.05	· .66	1	.167		
Lack of flowering	- " - " 3	0.92	.85	2	.333		
			Total	6	1.000		

Phenotypic correlations of provenance means

Characters	Straight- ness	Persis- tence	Branch size	Flowering
Straightness	1.00	-0.06	0.08	0.09
Persistence		1.00	-0.16	0.01
Branch Size			1.00	0.20
Flowering				1.00

*) The ANOVA and heritability estimates are performed on ARC SIN transformed proportions, whereas the present calculations on provenance-means and genetic estimates and values are carried out on the re-transformed proportions.

genetic	characters
aggregate	4 quality
f	60
	Ē
Calculations	values for t
038.	
IΡ	
C-2:	
Table	

1 - 1

	1 = 1		j = 2		= [4 = ť			
	Straightness (class 4 & 5)	0, = 0.167	Persistence (class 6)	a2 = 0.333	Branch size (clease 4 & 5)	a, = 0.167	Lack of floweri (class 3)	ns a4 = 0.333	Aggregate Genetic Value	
prov 1	Genetic estil	Genetic vul.	Genetic est	Genetic val.	Genetic est")	Genetic val.	Genetic est"	Genetic val.	$H_{1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} j + c_{1j}$	
	G11	n, 1 G ₁₁	G ₁₂	a2 + Gi2	₍₁)	a, e ₁₃	0 ₁₄	*4 * CiA		prov.
-	.0310	.005	0053	002	.0985	.015	0915	030	210	-
010	6440	\$008 -	1230	- ,019	.0303	.005	0030	.018	100	24
	1270 -	- 1002	0180 -	012	6620.	£10.	2020	120.	10.	••
- 40	6110.	.002	0839	028	.0463	.008	0711	.024	500.	n no
w	. 0333	900	1075	036	0616	010	0650.	.020	021	90 P
- 0	. 0046	100.	9910	100	0125	002	0534	054	SCO	- 00
o on	0077	100' -	.0009	.000	0218	.005	1451	048	- ,044	•
22	6000	000	0274	e008	0619	010 -	- 1602	053	073	2:
12	10198	100.	.0639	.021	0573	010	04290	1014	020	12
13	.0212	100.	6520	008	0130	002	. 0403	.027	-020	13
= :	0216	004	.0664	.022	0420	007	1120.	.024	.035	1 :
19	0100	900	. DH26	.028	.0662	110.	6420	.025	850.	2.2
17	0472	800	6004	000.	0175	003	1110.	.004	100	17
<u>e</u> :	.0268	100.	0431	014	.0301	500.	. 0222	.007	600.	e :
6 6	2400	100	6210.	100	0217	100.	. 0283	- 009	800.	e 0
12	0089	100	4620.	.013	0532	- 1009	6902	- ,082	640	12
22	- ,0261	+00	,0380	.013	.0374	900' -	.0224	200.	.010	52
23	0383	900	0110	P004 -	0380	900 -	.0264	600.	8008 -	52
24	9920	200	0140	-013	0074	100 -	0619	021	150	25
	2.5		20		25		45		25	
Bean	0000		0.000		0.000		1000.		0000	
	100.		1.90 m ·		0.0400.0		00001		90001	
abs.mean	0.1507		0.3005		0.0801		.9179			
.p.e	0.0318		0.0525		.0460		8260.			
				-						

Γ

**) Deviation from grand mean,

*) Calculated as described in Genetic estimates of provenances, see Chap. 4.2.3 - particularly fig.7 & table 8.

Table C-3: IP 038 Thailand

Summary (of	the	3	indices	for	health,	growth	and	quality.
-----------	----	-----	---	---------	-----	---------	--------	-----	----------

	Aggreg	gate Genetic	Values
Prov.	Health	Growth	Quality
1 3007 2 3016 3 3018 4 3019 5 3020 6 3021 7 3033 8 3034 9 3036 10 3037 11 3038 12 3039 13 3041 14 3042 15 3043 16 3047 17 3048 18 3049 19 3050 20 3051 21 3053 22 3055 23 3056 24 3057 25 SNO 133	$\begin{array}{c} + & 7 \\ + & 3 \\ + & 3 \\ - & 3 \\ - & 2 \\ - & 3 \\ - & - \\ + \\ - & + \\ - & + \\ - & + \\ - & + \\ - & + \\ - & + \\ - & + \\ - & + \\ - & - \\ - & + \\ - & - \\ - & - \\ - & 0 \end{array}$	0 + 4 8 1 2 1 1 5 3 0 4 3 2 5 3 4 7 4 2 2 2 3 9 3	$\begin{array}{r} -1\\ 0\\ +2\\ +1\\ +1\\ -2\\ -6\\ -4\\ -7\\ +5\\ +3\\ +2\\ +4\\ +6\\ -1\\ 0\\ +1\\ -4\\ -8\\ +1\\ -1\\ +4\\ +5\end{array}$
Averages	Survival(%)72 Health(%) 99	DBH(cm)12.7	Straightness(%) 15 Persistence(%) 30 Branch size(%) 5 Lack of flow.(%)92
h²	Survival .42 Health .20	DBH .49	Straightness .42 Persistence .52 Branch size .66 Lack of flow85
Economic weights	Survival .67 Health .33	DBH 1.00	Straightness .17 Persistence .33 Branch size .17 Lack of flow33 1.00

Appendix D : Provenance performance within individual experiments

Table D-1: Health index values for all provenances at all sites.

TRIAL SITES

				[1			[2			[3				6	3		
PROVIND	PREGIN	INDEX	[P001	18038	[P032	19016	IPD40	19022	19045	19047	18005	19003	19024	18026	·» 018	19028	19008	19609	19029	19030
SC3402		HEALTH	-10												· .					
\$03803		HEALTH			•	•	-6			•	-		•	•			•		•	
\$03147	ñ.	HEALTH		÷.				;		;					:				:	
SC3448		HEALTH	-9				- 6	;			•			•				۰.		
503122		NEALTH					:	- 4		:	:		:					i	-2	ė
SC3423		HEALTH						5												
SC3432	A	HEALTH				2	÷			•				- ·			· ·	•		· · ·
503012	81	HEALTH					2	:		:	1		:			:				
503113	81	HE AL TH	-1	:	.:	•	-2			•	•	•		•	:	:			•	
SC3017	81	HEALTH		-	-9			-0	÷	-5	1 :	:		:	+0	-			:	:
SC3018	81	HEALTH		0		•			÷.		5					1				
SC3019	91	HEALTH NEALTH	•	-4	-28	;		;		•	1.1	;		•			•		•	•
SC3021	81	SEAL TH		-1	2				è	ż	2	ő	- 0	ò		ŝ	;	-ė	:	:
803671	B1	HEALTH						2			· ·			•	· · ·				<u> </u>	
SC3038	92	HEALTH	:	ż	2	:	:	:	:	:	1	:	ò	ė	:	2			:	:
SC3034	82	AE AL TH		-3			1.1				1	-4			-6	-	-			;
\$63635	82	HEAL TH	•	;	•	-1	•				-1				•					
540001	82	HEALTH				4							;						:	:
503647	Ð	HEALTH		6	-4	•				•				•		-0	-1	1	5	-1
503049	D	HEALTH	i	-1			:	;	÷.	i	ŝ	i	i	i i		-1	5.	-2	-0	
SC3450	D	HE AL TH		2	7												,	-0	2	-3
SC3051	0	HEALTH	•	-1	12	•	1 :			•			•						-0	
SL 1524	p	HEALTH			2			:		;			:							
303637	E	HEALTH		-0	,	¢	•	5	:	:	:	:	,	,	. ;		:	:	:	.:
503042	Ē	NEALTH					1				1.	÷	:		1	:		-1		
SC31-63	E	HEALTH						2							1					
SC3064	E	HEALTH HEALTH						-2			1.1				-1				•	
503666	Ē	HEALTH						. 4		:					· ·					
SC3067	E	HEALTH			-		· ·	1			· ·	•					;			
56 03	Ē	HEALTH				:	:	:	:	:	1		:			:	-1	2	:	
56 44	E	HEALTH															5	- 3		-
SH 117 SHTR73	E	REALTH			:		1.1		:	:	1.1	:	-2	0	÷	1	:		5	2
503038	HI	HEALTH	÷	-0.		-1					1								-2	-
503039	HI	HEALTH US ALTH	•	-1	-16	-1			÷	•	1.1	-	1		÷	-7			-1	-8
523041	Ht	NEALTH	6	-2		-9		-0			- 1			:					· .	-,
\$53042	HI	HEAL IN	:	1		:	-2	:			· ·			•	•	:			2	-2
SH0133	HI	HEALTH	1		:	· ·		-0	:		1.			:		-9	:	:	- 4	-
523633	H2.	REALTH	-	f``							1.									
SC3054 SC3055	H2 H7	HEALTH HEALTH		-1				0		•	1.1	•		•	2		-12	-1		٠
903056	H2	REAL TH	:	-3				:			-8	:		:	- T	:	-11	2	-4	
\$\$3057	И2	REALTH		-1			· ·	:			1 .	•								
503059	H2 H2	REALTH	:		:		1 :	0			-2	:		:			-11	-2		
SC3060	H2	HEAL TH					1 -	- 4												
SC3161	H2	HEALTH	·•	•	•			-1				· · ·					· ·	· ·		
5# 79	R	HE AL TH	:	:	;			-5						:		:	:	:		:
54 80		REAL TR					· ·	-4				•	-		•	•				
54 81 58 82	2	HEAL TH	:	:	:		1 :	-6	:		1:	:		:	1	:		:	:	:
SA 83	R	REALTR						3												
SA SAD		HEAL TH	•		·		•		D	1					•			•		

Table D-2: Productivity index values for all provenances at all sites

	P				0				21			[3		1		(4		
Р Ж	E	I	I	I	1	1		I	1	1		1	I	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	6		P	P	P	P	11	P	P	Ρ	P	۴	P	P	P		P	÷	P	P
ě	5	E	0	3	3	1	11	2	-	-	6	-	2	2		2	0	-0	2	3
6	Ň	x	1	8	2	6		2	5	2	. 5	3	4	6	8	8	в	9	,	0
\$03602		PRODUCT	-21																	
\$03663		PRODUCT				•	-11	•			· ·									
\$03007	-	PRODUCT		i		:		:			:			:	1		:			:
\$03008	A	PERRUCT	-8				-4									-	:			;
\$03015	2	PRODUCT					•	11			•				•	-	.:		;	:
\$C3023	ñ.	PRODUCT	:		:	:	1	23	:		:		:	:				-0	÷	- 6
\$03032	A	PRODUCT				-8														
503010	F1	PRODUCT				•	-1	•					•			-		•		•
\$03013	81	PROBUCT	-B				13	:			1						÷			:
\$03014	91	PRODUCT		13	. 4			ó		:	•				. 9	-4				
503017	81	PRODUCT	•	- 1	-12				-1	2	10							•	•	•
\$1023	31	PROBUCT		-14	-26													:		:
\$03020	81	PROSUCT	•	1	1	,	•	-3		:		3	:	:	•	:	:	:		
503071	61	PRODUCT		-1		:			- 14	č		-2								:
SC3026	91	PRODUCT														-0				
\$03633	12	PRODUCT	•	- 6	-?	•	-				-:		-3	-1	÷.	2				•
\$03035	\$2	PRODUCT				-6	:	:			-17						;	÷.		
\$03036	82	PRODUCT		11		3					· ·									
\$53647	12	PRODUCT		- de		18												- 5	-1	- 28
\$03048	5	PR00UET		2	2											-3	2	1	-2	-19
\$03047		PRODUCT	17	2					27	۰	3	8	-4			-0	6	- 1	1	:
\$03051	÷.	PRODUCT		-0	- 0	:				÷.	1.1		:			:	-		-1	
SL 7614	5	PRODUCT			23						· ·									
SC3637		PRODUCT		7	-10		÷	-0			+ +	-		-					<u>.</u>	
503044	Ē	FRODUCT		-				-9	10	ò	2	-8			1		-5	-1	-1	-11
503062	E	PRODUCT		•			ł •	-1		•	· ·	•	•	-					٠	
503044	E	FRODUCT		:			1 :	6		:	1:		:	. 1	1.	:	:		:	
SC3065	ε	PRODUCT						0							2					
503066	E	FRODUCT		•			1.1	3		•	· ·		•		1.1	•				
56 01	Ē	PRODUCT					1	ĩ				;					3.	-1		
56 03	E	PRODUCT					· ·										-3	-0		
50 04	Ē	PRODUCT					11			:			-1	-2	1		- 2	- 4	÷	-1
SHT873	E	PRODUCT													+					
\$03638	HI	PRODUCT	-1	-1	.;	-3	· ·				•		;		•	-2	•		-1	
\$C3040	HT	FRODUCT				-9	1 :		-15	:	-2	i.		-	-4				-2	-1
SC3041	91	PRODUCT	2	-7		2		-1			-1		٠	-	· ·					.:
SC3042 SC3043	91	PRODUCT	ż	-8.		-10		- 4		:	1 :	:	:		1 :	-2	:		-1	1
SH0133	51	PRODUCT	18	_ 5			. ÷.	- ÷ .							i			_ ÷.		
\$C3053	H2	PRODUCT		3		•	•			•	•		•			•				
SC3655	12	PRODUCT		-7			1 :						:				-s	-1		
\$03854	42	PRODUCT		-5			i •				1						-#	0	-9	
503057	H2 5.2	PRODUCT		-10				i			:	-	:	:	1					
\$23659	H2	PROBUCT						-6			-1						-p	-0	-	:
\$03644	H2	PRODUCT	•	٠	-		- 1	0	-	•	-7	-		•	:			•	-	
58 78	- 112 R	PRODUCT	÷	÷			-	-0		÷	÷	-	÷	-		÷		-		.
5# 79	2	PRODUCT						14												
SM 80	R 2	PRODUCT	•		-	•	1.1	-5				-	•				•		-	•
SH 82	P	PRODUCT	:			:		-7		÷.										
SN 87		PRODUCT	•				-	-0	.:	÷.		ъ		•	•		•			
38 388		PAGBULI					1 .		- 6	- 4		-								

Table D-3: Quality index values for all provenances at all sites

								T	11.1	L S	11	£Ş.							
	0			[1				2		1	D]	1			-a		
P	8												_						
R	ε	1	I	1	1	I	I	1	1	1	1	1		.	1	1		1	,
0	6		P	P	P	P	1	P	P	÷.	P	÷		6	v.	÷	i i	, p	~
v		3	Ô	Ø		đ	0	0	đ	0	0	0		0	0			0	
×	a	E	Ô	3	3		4	2	4	4	0	0	2	2	1	2	• •	1 2	3
0		x	1		7	8	6	2	5	,	5	1	4	6	8	8	1 1	•	0
\$53053		DUAL TTP	1								i .								
\$01002	A	DUAL 1T!				:	-0							:					
\$03017	A	OUAL TTP					-0												
SC301-		OUAL IT!	:	- 1							· ·			.					
240133		DUALTTP	-9			•	0	:						•					•
503073	- 2	0041111	•		•	•	•	- 2			•			·				:	:
SC3023		OUAL IT?						-1						<u>'</u>				,	-,
SC1032	4	GUAL LTT				- î		-			1.			:					
SC 30 - 7	31	QUALITY				,	=0								4				
503012	11	OUAL ITT	:								· ·	-	-	•					
SE 101 ·		DUAL 117	- 6			'	12				· ·			•	:				
SE301.1	11	DUAL TT		- V	1		-0	- 4	;	12				•	- 3 .	-g			
503018	81	004L 117		ż							14		:	: [i i			
503011	31	064L11Y		1	5									1					
503024		004L111		1		4	•	-3			-2	0		•					
503071		DEAL 117	•	-2	٥	,	•		6	16	2	11	2	3	-	1	2 11		
50302	-12-	004.111				÷.					+					1			
SC103*	82	QUALITE		- 6	- 0						1.		2 -	;		0			
SC3034	82	00AL 111		-3			•					- 3		.	- 1				
SC303*	12	QUALITI	•			-3	· ·	-			2			·]		•			
Secor.	12	OHAL TTY				-2		-					•	•		•		•	•
50304	'i'-	TTI JAILD			-1		-				-		÷	-		1 -1		-3	11
SE3043		GUAL IT!		~1	- 1	,								.		0		-1	-4
50304#	1	OUAL [TT	- ! 1	0			· ·		- 3	2	- 3	-5	-á	1		0	2	5	
SC 3051	-	QUAL 111	•		- 6		•				1 .			•		•	1		2
51 161-	;	DUAL TT!			-3						1.1		:					-1	-
SL1674	÷.	OUAL LTT			4								:	.					
SC3017	ŧ	DUALITY		-7		-3		- 9							1	,			
501044	Ę	DUAL [11				•		-0	-15	-43	-11	-13		· [:	2 -7	-11	-19
SE 3062	-	004L111	•	*	•	•		1	,		i •		•	•		•	• •	•	
503064	ì	QUAL ITT			:		1	1					:	:	÷.	: :			
\$51065	ŧ	DUAL IT!						0						.	2				
SC 3068	E	GUAL [11					· ·	2			· ·			•					
SC306/	Ę	GUAL ETY				•	· ·		•				•	•	.,	•			
56 0.2	Ē	GUAL ITY	:										:	:		1 3			
S6 6/	Ē	UVALITY										-		.			2 -8		
SM 119	ε	QUAL [TT									· ·		ā -	3		2		. 9	
541973	-E	0041111							<u> </u>			· · ·	· ·		-!				
503034	81	OUAL IT!	ŝ	3	-0	ő	1.		:				i	:	÷	i		-2	÷
SC 30 4/	81	OUAL IT!				3			7		3	5						5	i
SC3041	81	0UAL [13	8	2		-0	- 0	1			6			•					
SE 304.7	81	GUAL TT	:				- 0							·		!	• •	-3	0
SNUTY		TTIAUD											•	11			• •	-1	,
SC 105.	82 -	QUALITY		-8		:	÷			: -			÷	-		1.5.5			
SC305-	82	GUAL 111					· ·	1		-					3				
SC30>.	82	OUAL ITY		1			·				:			•			1 2		
SC3056	82	QUALITY QUALITY	•	-1	-			-			-5			· [-		2 (2	
SC1058	12	QUALITY						-0			1		:	: 1	1	:			1
SC105 1	#2	QUALTT						2			-5			÷ł					÷.
SC 30 6	82	OUAL LTT									-3			- 1					
SC 106	12	0114L111						5	•				•		2				
54 12		DIIAL LTT	•			•	•	-1	•		· ·			• 1		•	• •	•	
SM B		QUAL ET Y	:			:	:	- é					:	: 1		:			
CH B'		GUAL FTY				,		÷						. 1					
5# 6		QUALITY					•				· ·		·	- [
SM SA		GUAL LTY			-			- 7		÷	1	-	·	- 1		•			
211 211	-													1					

	2-19.
on Individual	endix D Figures
ations	as App
Abbrevi	resented
s and	sis pi
Symbol	Analy
wh to	1 mont
Leger	Exper
::	
Pigure	

Example of Key Statistics

Group of Traits	Individual Traits	Experimental Averages	h ² of Provennice: Average	Economi c a	Weights Ni
llealth	Survival (%) Health (% healthy)	93 17	0.51 0.82	.67 .33	1.00
Growth	Basal Area sq.m. D.B.H. cm.	13.2	0.90	0.50	0.50
Quality	Straightness (% straight) Persistence (% persistent) Branch Size (% fine branches) Lack of Flowering (% without flowers)	6 50 93	0.87 0.79 0.17 0.33	.17 .33 .17 .33	1.00

The above economic weights are valid for all the figures in Appendix D.

In the above example, D.B.H. is quoted. In other cases, basal area is applied. Where the grey columns are missing in the histograms, the deviation from the local experimental average is zero.

IP 001, HUEY SOM POI, Thailand

Lat. 18° 40' N. Long. 99° 55' E. Elev. 350 m. Annual rainfall: 1400 mm. 8 provenances tested



Group	Indiv. Trait	~	Exp. mean	ĥ
Health	SURV. HEALTH	(%) (%)	87	82
Growth 🎆	DBH	cm	13.2	\$
Quality	STR. PERS. BR. SZ. L. FL.	2222	8 05 9 1	28 8 8 8 8 4 8

IP 003, ARACRUZ, Brasil

Lat. 19° 46' S. Long. 40° 16' W. Elev. 50 m. Annual rainfall: 1400 mm. 7 provenances tested



Group	Indiv, Traits		Exp. mean	2.4
Health	SURV. HEALTH	(0%) (0%)	86 93	82
Growth 驪	BA. AR	°m	17.68	.80
Quality 🌉	STR. PERS. BR. SZ. L. FL.	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 $	19 10 32 32	.19 89 94

IP 005, ARACRUZ, Brasil

Lat. 19° 46' S. Long. 40° 16' W. Elev. 50 m. Annual rainfall: 1400 mm. 12 provenances tested



Group	Indiv. Trait		Exp. mean	μ
Hcalth	SURV. HEALTH	(0%) (0%)	82	50
Growth ﷺ	DBH	8	15.9	.83
	STR.	(0)(0)	23	2
Contine and	PERS.	(%))	6	8
Cuanty 📷	BR. SZ.	(0 <u>/</u> 0)	r-	5
	L.FL.	(0 <u>1</u> 0)	30	.85

IP 008, PRA ANUM, Ghana

Lat. 6° 15' N. Long. 1° 15' W. Elev. 100 m. Annual rainfall: 1650 mm. 13 provenances tested



Group Health Growth ﷺ	Indiv. Traits SURV. HEALTH BA. AR STR. PERS.	, (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%)	Exp. mean 85 87 22.8 22.8 28 28	н. 193 193 194 194 194
uality	BR.SZ. L.FL.	(0%) (0%)	16 2	66
IP 009, TAIN, Ghana

Lat. 7° 30' N. Long. 2° 30' W. Elev. 100 m. Annual rainfall: 1140 mm. 13 provenances tested



IP 016, MAREDUMILLI, India, A.P.

Lat. 17° 36' N. Long. 81° 43' E. Elev. 500 m. Annual rainfall: 1470 mm. 11 provenances tested



Group	Indiv, Traits		Exp.	ŗ,
Health	SURV. HEALTH	(0/0) (0/0)	9.5	.56 .56
Growth 🎆	BA. AR	,ш	15.1	89
	STR.	(0/0)	21	8
Condition 1	PERS.	(0%)	5	ŧ,
Cinamy	BR.SZ.	(0,0)	9	48
	L.FL.	(0%)	63	22

IP 018, LA TENÉ, Ivory Coast

Lat. 6° 05' N. Long. 5° 05' W. Elev. 250 m. Annual rainfall: 1300 mm. 11 provenances tested



Group	Indiv. Trait	~	Exp. mean	ĩ4
Health	SURV. HEALTH	$(0_{(0)}^{(0)})$	88	25.00
Growth ﷺ	DBH	Œ	1.61	4
	STR.	(0/0)	48	88.
Contract of	PERS.	(0%)	4	.63
Quality 🚛	BR. SZ.	(0/g)	_	8
	L.FL.	(0½)	1	I

IP 022, EL TORMENTO, Mexico

Lat. 18° 26' N. Long. 90° 43' W. Elev. 100 m. Annual rainfall: 1100 mm. 26 provenances tested

	_	_					-				_	-			
									-	546 B.C.S	25 5 1 20			3061	
														3060	
									ASTR.	(Red)	1999			3059	H2 Laos
									189				_	3058	
		_							1088	IMA BR	1000	_	_	3054	
									8529					3043	and
														3041	Thail
								150028	KSUBI					3071	ia noist
									6138	100				3020	B Ind semi-n
							1953	RUSSER	85535					3016	BI India moist
	ž		NEDEN	1000-53	19752093	949546655	ada se	1012304	512550					3023	dry
_					X	simistic	12359336	is the second	1998					3015	AIndia
-	7	1.		÷.	5	-6	4	1°.	0	m	-1 g	-6	-+	wenance	ovenance
Pert	+	+	1	-	+		+	7		4	÷	4	+	Prc	рл
												_			

Group	Indiv. Traits	20	Exp. mean	4
Health	SURV. HEALTH	(0)0) (0)0)	65 89	44. 17
Growth 200	DBH	сш	7.7	.37
Quality 📕	STR. PERS. BR. SZ. L. FL.	(04) (04) (04)	88 8 8 93 88 8 8 93	6 4=4

Continued on next page

IP 022, EL TORMENTO, Mexico

Lat. 18° 26' N. Long. 90° 43' W. Elev. 100 m. Annual rainfall: 1100 mm. 26 provenances tested

		SN 83	
	Reda Pictoria	SN 82	aces
	WHEN THE CONTRACT	SN81	an Landra
	334538434	SN 80	R
		SN 79	Latin
		SN 78	
		3067	
95985459		3066	
		3065	
2019104000500041520		3064	andraces
	and and a second second	3063	E frican La
	20	3062	~
	No. 12 TEXT CONTRACTOR OF	3044	
		3037	
Pcs. + 24 + 15 + 18 + 15 + 15 + 15 + 15 + 21 + 6 + 15 + 21 - 12 + 15 + 15 + 15 + 21 - 12 + 21 - 24 + 21 - 24 + 24 + 24 + 24 + 24 + 24 + 24 + 24 +	+ 3+ + 6+ + 9- + 12+	Provenance	Provenance region

Continued from preceding page

IP 024, BENDE, Nigeria

Lat. 5° 30' N. Long. 7° 38' E. Elev. 400 m. Annual rainfall: 2300 mm. 5 provenances tested



. Traits Exp. h ²	V. (%) 40 .00 LTH (%) 55 .43	cm 20.2 .82	S. (%) 31 00 S. (%) 16 50 S. (%) 22 65 S. (%) 59 00 S. (%) 20 S. (
Group Indiv	Health BUR	Growth 🎆 DBH	Quality BR. STR. BR. 5 L. FI

IP 026, SAPOBA, Nigeria

Lat. 6° 01' N. Long. 5° 46' E. Elev. 100 m. Annual rainfall: 2500 mm. 4 provenances tested



Indiv. Traits

Group

SURV. HEALTH

Health

BA, AR

Growth i

STR. PERS. BR. SZ. L. FL.

Quality

IP 028, GAMBARI, Nigeria

Lat. 7º 12' N. Long. 3º 53' E. Elev. 400 m. Annual rainfall: 1260 mm. 12 provenances tested



Health BURV. (% HEALTH (% Drowth 38 BA. AR m STR. (% S	(%) 79 (%) 82		
Growth 38 BA. AR m STR. (%			30
STR. (%	m² 2(5.7	46
Quality BR. SZ. (%)	(46) (97) (97) (97) (97) (97) (97) (97) (97		1 53

IP 029, NIMBIA, Nigeria

Lat. 8° 30' N. Long. 9° 30' E. Elev. 600 m. Annual rainfall: 1750 mm. 14 provenances tested



Quality 📕	L.FL. BR.SZ. PERS. STR.	$\begin{array}{c} (0_{i0}) \\ (0_{i0}) \\ (0_{i0}) \\ (0_{i0}) \\ (0_{i0}) \end{array}$	 ۱۲ ٤٤	ζζ. Ζζ.
Growth 🎆	BA. AR	;uu	0.51	52.
Health	HEALTH SURV.	(0 ₂₀) (0 ₂₀)	65 68	28° 28°
duorD	lieit. Vibnl	,	Exp.	त्म

IP 030, AFAKA, Nigeria

Lat. 10° 37' N. Long. 8° 17' E. Elev. 600 m. Annual rainfall: 1300 mm. 10 provenances tested



Group	Indiv. Traits		Exp.	h^2
Health	SURV. HEALTH	(0%) (0%)	92 54	.35
Growth 👹	BA. AR	'n,	10.0	·6
	STR.	(0%)	52	82.93
Quality	BR. SZ.	(%)	1 FR	64
	L.F.L.	(%)	72	92

IP 032, BLACK RIVER, Papua New Guinea

Lat. 9° 04' S. Long. 147° 06' E. Elev. 50 m. Annual rainfall: 2000 mm. 12 provenances tested



Group	Indiv. Trait	,	Exp.	Q.
Health	SURV. HEALTH	(0%) (0%)	78 21	8.8
Growth 2	BA. AR	m²	23.0	16:
Quality	STR. PERS. BR. SZ. L. FL.	(95) (95) (95)	8 1 2 3	54. 25. 54

IP 038, PAH NOK KAU, Thailand

Lat. 16° 45' N. Long. 102° 00' E. Elev. 300 m. Annual rainfall: 1300 mm. 25 provenances tested



Group	Indiv. Trait	~	Exp. mean	Ë
Health	SURV. HEALTH	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	85	242
Growth 201	BA. AR	m²	5.1	.52
	STR.	(0/4) (0/4)	15	4.0
Quality	BR. SZ.		ç ao g	199
	L. FL.	(0,4)	76	<u>c</u> .

IP 038, PAH NOK KAU, Thailand

Lat. 16° 45' N. Long. 102° 00' E. Elev. 300 m. Annual rainfall: 1300 mm. 25 provenances tested



78

Continued from preceding page

IP 045, RIO ABAJO, Puerto Rico

Lat. 18° 22' N. Long. 66° 44' W. Elev. 335 m. Annual rainfall: 2400 mm. 6 provenances tested



Group	Indiv. Traits		Exp. mean	24
Health	SURV. HEALTH	(0½) (0½)	96 100	88
Growth 🎆	DBH	6	5.4	.86
Quality 📕	STR. PERS. BR. SZ. L. FL.	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $	1 89 93 93	27 88 95

IP 047, ST. CROIX, Puerto Rico

Lat. 17° 45' N. Long. 65° 50' W. Elev. 250 m. Annual rainfall: 1500 mm. 5 provenances tested



	48	9	8278
£	8, 9,	~ ;	وتعزونون
Exp. mean	97	9.5	82238
я	(9%) (9%)	cm	(9%) (9%) (9%)
Indiv. Trait	SURV. HEALTH	DBH	STR. PERS. BR. SZ. L. FL.
Group	Health	Growth 🎆	Quality 🗱

IP 046, TRACT 105, Puerto Rico

Lat. 18° 15' N. Long. 65° 55' W. Elev. 325 m. Annual rainfall: 2100 mm. 9 provenances tested



Group	Indiv. Traits	~	Exp. mcan	μ.
Health	SURV. HEALTH	$\begin{pmatrix} 0_{10} \\ 0_{10} \end{pmatrix}$	88 66	55
Growth 🎆	DBH	cm	7.8	.36
Quality	STR. PERS BR. SZ. L. FL.	$\begin{pmatrix} 0.70\\ 0.$	-348	8888

Appendix E: Table E-1

Appendix E: Provenance x site interaction tests

Table E-1: Provenance x site interaction tests

Analysis No.1

Source of	SS (partial)	d.f.	MS	F-test	E(MS)
Variation					
Pr-reg	5.7414	4	1.4354		$\sigma^{2} + q(pr-reg * tr-reg) + 0.434 \sigma^{2} pr(pr-reg) + 3.65 \sigma^{2} pr-reg$
Pr(pr.reg)	44.435	41	1.0838	° 2.00**	σ^2 + 1. 20 σ^2 pr(pr-reg)
Trial-reg	411. 23	6	68.538	° 50.70***	$\sigma^2 + q(\text{pr-reg} * \text{tr-reg})$
Trial (tr-reg)	70.910	10	7.0910	13.11***	$+ 1.70\sigma^2$ tr(tr-reg) $\sigma^2 + 2.35 .\sigma^2$ tr-reg
Pr-reg *					
tr-reg	24.332	18	1.3518	2.50**	$\sigma^{2+} + q(\text{pr-reg} * \text{tr-reg})$
Error	35.152	65	0.5408		σ^2

Pr = provenance reg =region tr =trial

Analysis No.2 2-way ANOVA within each of the 6 trial regions

	Trial region	1: PNG; Th	ailand; India	, A.P.	
Source	SS	d.f.	MS	F	E(MS)
	(partial)				
Pr-reg	23.702	4	5.9255	° 5.70***	σ^2 + 5.46 σ^2 pr-reg
Trial	196.381	3	65.460	62.96***	$\sigma^2 + 6.81 \sigma^2 tr$
Error	45.750	44	1.0398	11	σ^2

Trial marian 1, DNIC, Thailand, India A.D.

Trial region 2: Central America

Source	SS	d.f.	MS	F	E(MS)
	(partial)				
Pr-reg	7.7611	3	2.587	° 2.96*	σ^2 + 2.35 σ^2 pr-reg
Trial	23.137	3	7.712	8.82***	$\sigma^2 + 2.44 \sigma^2 tr$
Error	17.485	20	0.8742		σ^2

Trial region 3: Brazil

Source	SS	d.f.	MS	F	E(MS)
	(partial)				
Pr-reg	13.463	3	4.488	° 7.73***	σ^2 + 2.66 σ^2 pr-reg
Trial	0.2624	1	0.2624	0.45 ^{ns}	σ^2 + 3.77 σ^2 tr
Error	6.9672	12	0.5806		σ^2

Trial region 4: West Africa moist

Source	SS	d.f.	MS	F	E(MS)
	(partial)				
Pr-reg	4.2313	3	1.410	° 7.42ns	σ^2 + 0.859 σ^2 pr-reg
Trial	5.3413	1	5.341	28.09*	$\sigma^2 + 0.518 \sigma^2 tr$
Error	0.3804	2	0.1902		σ^2

Trial region 5: West Africa semi-moist	Trial re	egion !	5: `	West	Africa	semi-m	oist
--	----------	---------	------	------	--------	--------	------

Source	SS	d.f.	MS	F	E(MS)
	(partial)				
Pr-reg	0.9154	4	0.2289	° 1.01ns	σ^2 + 1.81 σ^2 pr-reg
Trial	5.1220	12	2.561	11.29*	$\sigma^2 + 2.97 \sigma^2 tr$
Error	4.3099	19	0.2268		σ^2

Trial region 6: West Africa dry

Source	SS	d.f.	MS	F	E(MS)
	(partial)				
Pr-reg	1.4057	3	0.4686	0.50ns	σ^2 + 1.18 σ^2 pr-reg
Trial	16.659	1	16.659	17.83**	$\sigma^2 + 2.18 \sigma^2 \text{ tr}$
Error	11.215	12	0.9346		σ^2

Appendix E: Table E-3

Table E-3: Analysis No.2 continued

2-way ANOVA of all trials (ignoring trial-regions)

Reference one zone =all trials

Source	SS	d.f.	MS	F	E(MS)
	(partial)				
Pr-region	17.316	4	4.3290	• ° 4.50**	σ^2 + 12.5 σ^2 pr-reg
Trial	1231. 955	16	76.9972	80.03***	σ^2 + 4.17 σ^2 tr
Error	120.269	125	0.9622		σ^2